



LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Cryo-EM structure of the human $\alpha 5\beta 3$ GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor

Cell Research (2018) 28:958–961; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-018-0077-8>

Dear Editor,

$\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid type A (GABA<sub>A</sub>) receptors mediate rapid inhibitory neurotransmission by opening a chloride selective pore in response to binding of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA), and thus are vital for controlling excitability in the brain.<sup>1</sup> Dysfunctional GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors are directly involved in the pathogenesis of many neurologic diseases and psychiatric disorders.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors are modulated, directly activated or inhibited by over hundreds of pharmacologically and clinically important compounds of different structural classes.<sup>3</sup> As members of Cys loop-type ligand-gated ion channel superfamily that also includes nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, glycine receptors and serotonin type 3 receptor, human GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors are typically heteropentamers assembled from a repertoire of 19 different subunits ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\pi$ , and  $\rho$  subunits), giving rise to a spectrum of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtypes with different subunit compositions and arrangements, as well as distinct biophysical and pharmacological properties.<sup>1,4</sup> Although the subunit stoichiometries and arrangements of functional GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtypes have been intensively investigated in the last two decades, the assembling principles of these receptor subtypes remain unknown.<sup>4</sup> The unique property that keeps GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors apart from other members of the Cys-loop superfamily is the activating ligand GABA. Early studies with reconstituted recombinant receptors have revealed that robust GABA-activated channel formation occurred with combinations of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits.<sup>5</sup> All Cys-loop receptors share a similar neurotransmitter binding pocket formed at the extracellular interface between two adjacent subunits by three loops from the principle (+) and three loops/strands from the complementary (–) subunits; and the pocket at the extracellular  $\beta(+)/\alpha(-)$  interface is a GABA-binding site.<sup>3</sup> However, how GABA selectively binds at the interface, and how the binding signal is transmitted quickly and efficiently to open an integral ion channel remains elusive, significantly limiting our understanding of the ligand-gating mechanism of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors.

To elucidate the assembly principle and the ligand-gating mechanism of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors containing both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits, we overexpressed and purified human  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor from HEK293S-GnTI<sup>–</sup> cells. To eliminate the possibility that contaminated proteins interact with GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor through an intracellular loop between transmembrane helices 3 and 4 with very high affinity, we substituted this loop by a short linker. Similar approach has previously been applied to GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors<sup>6–11</sup> and other Cys-loop receptor members. The  $\alpha 5$  and  $\beta 3$  subunits share ~35% amino acid sequence identity and likely adopt near identical backbone conformations, which makes the two different subunits indistinguishable at low resolution, and thus challenges the cryo-EM analysis, especially at particle alignment stage. We took advantage of a nanobody (Nb25) that specifically binds to the  $\beta 3$  extracellular domain (ECD),<sup>6</sup> to facilitate the 2D and 3D classifications and to distinguish the two different subunits. The

GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-Nb25 complex was co-purified in the presence of 1 mM GABA and was used to prepare sample grids for cryo-EM. By combining 161,455 particle images from 3724 electron-counting movies recorded in a TF20 and a Titan Krios cryo-electron microscope, we obtained a cryo-EM structure of 3.51 Å by single-particle analysis (Supplementary Information, Data S1, Figure S5, S6). The cryo-EM density map reveals that five well-resolved subunits form a cylinder-shaped central ion channel in a pseudo-symmetrical arrangement, and three Nb25s bind between adjacent ECD interfaces of two subunits (Fig. 1a–e). Each subunit contains a large N-terminal ECD surrounding an extracellular vestibule, and a C-terminal four-helix bundle transmembrane domain (TMD) forming a funnel-shaped transmembrane channel (Fig. 1d, e). Two side Nb25s bind loosely, as judged by the slightly lower densities (Fig. 1b, c).

The structure of the  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor reveals an unexpected subunit stoichiometry of one  $\alpha$  and four  $\beta$  subunits. In theory, a huge number of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subtypes may be assembled from a repertoire of 19 different subunits.<sup>1</sup> However, very few combinations have been conclusively identified. A GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor containing  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  subunits seems to have defined subunit stoichiometry and arrangement,<sup>12</sup> which has been directly visualized recently.<sup>9–11</sup> Of note, accumulating evidence, especially that from single channel electrophysiological recordings,<sup>13</sup> supports the existence of  $\alpha\beta$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors at extrasynaptic locations. A pentameric arrangement containing two  $\alpha$  and three  $\beta$  subunits,<sup>12</sup> or three  $\alpha$  and two  $\beta$  subunits,<sup>14</sup> have been proposed. However, the observation of three Nb25s binding to a  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor suggests an existence of four continuous  $\beta 3$  subunits, since Nb25 has been previously observed to bind the ECDs between two adjacent  $\beta$  subunits.<sup>7</sup> The quality of the density map is excellent, allowing for visualization of many medium and large side chains, as well as glycosylation of Asn side chains. We observed clear densities corresponding to eight N-linked glycans located on the external surface of the cylinder on four subunits at two sites (Asn80 and Asn149) (Fig. 1d, e, Supplementary Information, Figure S1), characteristic of  $\beta 3$  subunit as previously reported<sup>7</sup> (Supplementary Information, Figure S2), indicating the existence of only one  $\alpha 5$  subunit in the  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  assembly. The  $\alpha 5$  subunit identity was further substantiated by clear densities of two N-linked glycans, one of which located in the extracellular vestibule at Asn114 (Fig. 1d, e, Supplementary Information, Figure S3), whereas the other one located on the external surface of the cylinder at Asn205 (Fig. 1d, e, Supplementary Information, Figure S4), two potential glycosylation sites based on sequence analysis.<sup>15</sup> Previous findings suggest that a glycosylation site within the extracellular vestibule blocks the formation of pentamers with more than two  $\alpha$  subunits,<sup>10</sup> thus excluding the possibility that the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor contains three  $\alpha$  and two  $\beta$  subunits.<sup>14</sup>

To understand the molecular recognition of GABA, the  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor structure was determined in the presence of

Received: 28 June 2018 Revised: 12 July 2018 Accepted: 20 July 2018  
Published online: 23 August 2018



**960 Fig. 1** Cryo-EM structure of the human  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor. **a, b** Surface views parallel to the plasma membrane (**a**) or from the extracellular space down the five-fold pseudo-symmetry axis (**b**) of the cryo-EM density map of the human  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor in complex with Nb25 reveals a distinct assembly of one  $\alpha$  (red) and four  $\beta$  subunits (purple), and three bound Nb25s (green). **c** Schematic top-down view of the  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor indicating two different interfaces bound by Nb25s. **d, e**  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor viewed parallel to the plasma membrane (**d**) or from the extracellular space down the five-fold pseudo-symmetry axis with three Nb25s bound (**e**). N-linked glycans are shown in ball-and-stick representation. **f** GABA-binding site at  $\beta(+)/\alpha(-)$  interface with density at  $3\sigma$  contour level. Dashed links indicate salt bridges or hydrogen bond. The residues in  $\beta(+)$ ,  $\alpha(-)$  and GABA are depicted in sticks. **g, h** Solvent contours of the human  $\beta 3$  GABAA receptor pore (PDB ID: 4COF) (**g**) or of the human  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor pore (this study) (**h**) showing the M2 helices of subunits and the side chains of pore-lining residues, labeled according to protein sequence. Small blue spheres define a radius of  $>4.0$  Å and yellow spheres represent a radius of 1.6–4.0 Å. **i** Illustration of the pore radii as a function of distance along the pore axis of desensitized (purple) and open (red) GABAA receptor pores. Pore radii in panels E–G were calculated using the computer program Hole. **j, k** The extracellular domains of homopentameric  $\beta 3$  GABAA receptor in the desensitized state (**j**) and that of heteropentameric  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor in the open state (**k**) showing that the extracellular domains ‘open up’ in a way akin to petals opening on a flower. The distances between Gly 32 and that between Pro 144 in two opposing subunits are shown. **l** Superposition of the transmembrane domains of homopentameric  $\beta 3$  GABAA receptor in the desensitized state (green) and that of heteropentameric  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor in the open state ( $\alpha$  subunit in red color and  $\beta$  subunits in purple color) showing that they undergo an anticlockwise rotation of  $\sim 8^\circ$  relative to the pore axis, with the tilting of the M2 helix  $\sim 3$  Å away from the five-fold axis. **m** Superpositions of the extracellular domains showing conformational changes within GABAA subunits. Left,  $\alpha 5$  subunit in the open state (red) to  $\beta 3$  subunit in the desensitized state (grey). Right,  $\beta 3$  subunit in the open (purple) to desensitized (grey) state

$\beta(+)$  subunit and its carboxyl tail mainly with the  $\alpha(-)$  subunit. The amino group of GABA forms cation– $\pi$  interaction with Tyr205, and a salt bridge with the carboxylate group of Glu155 in  $\beta$ -strand 7. Whereas the carboxylate group of GABA forms a salt bridge with the guanidinium moiety of Arg 70, and a hydrogen bond with the hydroxy group of Thr 202. It is worth noting that, we did not observe similar density at the  $\alpha(+)/\beta(-)$  interface in  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor structure, as compared with  $\alpha 1\beta 1\gamma 2$  GABAA receptor structure.<sup>10</sup>

The structure of the heteropentameric  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor is clearly distinct to that of the benzamidine-bound, homopentameric  $\beta 3$  GABAA receptor in a desensitized state.<sup>7</sup> In the  $\beta 3$  receptor, the pore-lining M2 helices become gradually narrower at the intracellular end with the narrowest region at Ala 248 (Ala -2' on the M2, pore-lining helix), restricting the pore to  $\sim 3.15$  Å in diameter, too small for the conduction of chloride ions (Fig. 1g). Whereas in the GABA-bound  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  receptor, the M2 helices are nearly parallel to the pore axis with four narrow regions at Ile 274, Leu 267, Val 260 and Pro 256 of  $\alpha 5$ , and His 267, Leu 259, Ala 252 and Ala 248 of  $\beta 3$  subunits (Fig. 1h). The pore is most constricted at Pro 256 of  $\alpha 5$ , and Ala 248 of  $\beta 3$  subunits, yielding a pore of  $\sim 7$  Å in diameter (Fig. 1h, i). Given that chloride has a Pauling radius of 1.8 Å, and the Cl<sup>−</sup>–H–O (water) hydrogen bond can be as short as  $\sim 2.5$  Å, Cl<sup>−</sup> can snugly pass through the pore with one layer of bound water molecules. It is then reasonable to hypothesize that the  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor structure represents an open state.

The two GABAA receptor structures, in the desensitized and open states, differ in two main respects. First, the extracellular domains ‘open up’ in a way akin to petals opening on a flower, i.e., the upper part of the extracellular domains expands during the transition from the desensitized to the open state. In the desensitized state the extracellular domains are separated by  $\sim 57.4$  Å as measured at Gly 32 in two opposing subunits (Fig. 1j). This distance expands to  $\sim 61.4$  Å in the open state (Fig. 1k). By contrast, the bottom part of the extracellular domains only slightly expands. The distance between Pro 144 in two opposing subunits is  $\sim 44.0$  Å in the desensitized state (Fig. 1j), and is  $\sim 45.7$  Å in the open state (Fig. 1k). Second, in the open state, the transmembrane domains undergo an anticlockwise rotation of  $\sim 8^\circ$  relative to the pore axis, ‘splaying open’ with the tilting of the M2 helix  $\sim 3$  Å away from the five-fold axis and opening of the pore (Fig. 1l).

Superpositions of individual subunits from the desensitized and open states reveal that the extracellular and transmembrane domains undergo mainly rigid body movements relative to one another (Fig. 1m). Superimposing the ECD from the

same  $\beta 3$  subunit in the two states give rise to a rotated transmembrane domain. Transition from the desensitized to the open state therefore involves tilting of the pore lining M2 helix  $\sim 8^\circ$  away from the ion channel (Fig. 1m). Superimposing the ECD from an  $\alpha 5$  subunit in open state to a  $\beta 3$  subunit in the other state shows a slightly larger rotation with tilting of the pore lining M2 helix  $\sim 9^\circ$  away from the ion channel (Fig. 1m).

In summary, we have used single particle cryo-EM coupled with nanobody to determine the structure of a heteropentameric  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  GABAA receptor. The structure shows an unexpected subunit stoichiometry of one  $\alpha$  and four  $\beta$  subunits. In agreement with the observation of a GABA binding at a canonical ligand-binding “aromatic cage” at the  $\beta(+)/\alpha(-)$  interface, the receptor adopts a conductive, open channel conformation. Structural comparisons reveal a quaternary activation mechanism arising from rigid-body movements between the extracellular and transmembrane domains. The  $\alpha 5\beta 3$  receptor contains only one GABA-binding site, represents the simplest heteropentameric GABAA receptor, and provides us a unique opportunity for further biophysical analysis of the channel gating mechanism.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the use of cryoEM instruments and computing resources in Center for Integrative Imaging of Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale of University of Science and Technology of China, Center of Cryo-Electron Microscopy, Zhejiang University and the Electron Imaging Center for Nanomachines at University of California, Los Angeles. We thank the Bioinformatics Center of the University of Science and Technology of China, School of Life Science, for providing supercomputing resources for this project. This work was supported in part by funds from the Ministry of Science and Technology (Awards 2016YFA0500404 and 2014CB910300 to S.Y. and 2016YFA0501102 to X.Z.), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Awards 31525001 and 31430019 to S.Y., 31600606 to X.Z. and 31630030 to G.Q.B.), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (to S.Y.). The Electron Imaging Center for Nanomachines at University of California, Los Angeles is supported by grants from NIH (GM071940, S10RR23057, S10OD018111 and U24GM116792) and NSF (DBI-1338135 and DMR-1548924). Structure coordinates and cryo-EM density maps have been deposited in the protein data bank under accession number 6A96 and EMD-6998.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-018-0077-8>.

**Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

Si Liu<sup>1</sup>, Lingyi Xu<sup>2</sup>, Fenghui Guan<sup>2</sup>, Yun-Tao Liu<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Yanxiang Cui<sup>6</sup>,  
Qing Zhang<sup>7,8</sup>, Xiang Zheng<sup>2</sup>, Guo-Qiang Bi<sup>3,4,5,9</sup>,  
Z. Hong Zhou<sup>10,11</sup>, Xiaokang Zhang<sup>7,8</sup> and Sheng Ye<sup>2,12</sup>   
<sup>1</sup>Life Sciences Institute and School of Medicine, Zhejiang University,  
Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310058, P. R. China; <sup>2</sup>Life Sciences Institute and  
Innovation Center for Cell Signaling Network, Zhejiang University,  
Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310058, P. R. China; <sup>3</sup>Center for Integrative  
Imaging, Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the  
Microscale, and School of Life Sciences, University of Science and  
Technology of China (USTC), Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China;  
<sup>4</sup>School of Life Sciences, University of Science and Technology of  
China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China; <sup>5</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Brain  
Function and Disease, University of Science and Technology of China,  
Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China; <sup>6</sup>Electron Imaging Center for  
Nanomachines, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA  
90095, USA; <sup>7</sup>Department of Biophysics, School of Medicine, Zhejiang  
University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310058, P. R. China; <sup>8</sup>Center of Cryo  
Electron Microscopy, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang  
310058, P. R. China; <sup>9</sup>CAS Center for Excellence in Brain Science and  
Intelligence Technology, University of Science and Technology  
of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China; <sup>10</sup>Department of  
Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics, University of  
California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA; <sup>11</sup>California  
NanoSystems Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, Los  
Angeles, CA 90095, USA and <sup>12</sup>School of Life Sciences, Tianjin  
University, 92 Weijin Road, Nankai District, Tianjin 300072,  
P. R. China

Correspondence: Z Hong Zhou (hong.zhou@ucla.edu) or  
Xiaokang Zhang (xzhang1965@zju.edu.cn) or Sheng Ye  
(sye@zju.edu.cn)

These authors contributed equally: Liu S, Xu L and Guan F.

## REFERENCES

1. Sigel, E. & Steinmann, M. E. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 40224–40231 (2012).
2. Rudolph, U. & Mohler, H. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **54**, 483–507 (2014).
3. Chua, H. C. & Chebib, M. *Adv. Pharmacol.* **79**, 1–34 (2017).
4. Olsen, R. W. & Sieghart, W. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **60**, 243–260 (2008).
5. Angelotti, T. P. & Macdonald, R. L. *J. Neurosci.* **13**, 1429–1440 (1993).
6. Miller, P. S. et al. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **24**, 986–992 (2017).
7. Miller, P. S. & Aricescu, A. R. *Nature* **512**, 270–275 (2014).
8. Laverty, D. et al. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **24**, 977–985 (2017).
9. Miller, P. et al. *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/338343> (2018).
10. Phulera S, Zhu H, Yu J, Claxton DP, Yoder N, Yoshioka C, Gouaux E. Cryo-EM structure of the benzodiazepine-sensitive  $\alpha 1\beta 1\gamma 2\delta$  tri-heteromeric GABAA receptor in complex with GABA. *Elife*. Jul 25;7. pii: e39383. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.39383> (2018).
11. Zhu, S. et al. Structure of a human synaptic GABAA receptor. *Nature* **559**, 67–72 (2018).
12. Tretter, V., Ehya, N., Fuchs, K. & Sieghart, W. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 2728–2737 (1997).
13. Mortensen, M. & Smart, T. G. *J. Physiol.* **577**, 841–856 (2006).
14. Im, W. B., Pregenzer, J. F., Binder, J. A., Dillon, G. H. & Alberts, G. L. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**, 26063–26066 (1995).
15. Blom, N., Sicheritz-Ponten, T., Gupta, R., Gammeltoft, S. & Brunak, S. *Proteomics* **4**, 1633–1649 (2004).