





REVIEW

Frontier methods in coherent X-ray diffraction for high-resolution structure determination

Marcus Gallagher-Jones¹, Jose A. Rodriguez^{2*} and Jianwei Miao^{1*}

¹Department of Physics & Astronomy and California NanoSystems Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA ²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, UCLA-DOE Institute for Genomics and Proteomics, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics (2016), 49, e20, page 1 of 24 doi:10.1017/S0033583516000147

Abstract. In 1912, Max von Laue and collaborators first observed diffraction spots from a millimeter-sized crystal of copper sulfate using an X-ray tube. Crystallography was born of this experiment, and since then, diffraction by both X-rays and electrons has revealed a myriad of inorganic and organic structures, including structures of complex protein assemblies. Advancements in X-ray sources have spurred a revolution in structure determination, facilitated by the development of new methods. This review explores some of the frontier methods that are shaping the future of X-ray diffraction, including coherent diffractive imaging, serial femtosecond X-ray crystallography and small-angle X-ray scattering. Collectively, these methods expand the current limits of structure determination in biological systems across multiple length and time scales.

1. Introduction: modern bio-imaging 2

- 2. Coherent diffractive imaging (CDI) 2
 - 2.1. X-ray sources used in CDI 3
 - 2.2. The principle of CDI 3
 - 2.3. A brief history of CDI 3
 - 2.4. Biological CDI: an overview 4
 - 2.4.1. Biological CDI: early experiments 5
 - 2.4.2. Biological CDI in 3D 6
 - 2.4.3. Cryogenic CDI in 3D 6
 - 2.5. Ptychographic CDI 6
 - 2.5.1. Tomographic ptychography 8
 - 2.6. Low-dose CDI for imaging fully hydrated cells 8
 - 2.7. Correlative CDI 9
 - 2.8. Tabletop CDI 9
 - 2.9. CDI with XFELs 9
 - 2.9.1. 3D CDI with XFELs 10
 - 2.9.2. Live cell imaging and correlative CDI with XFELs 10

3. Serial femtosecond X-ray crystallography 10

- 3.1. SFX: first demonstration 10
- 3.2. Time-resolved experiments, new structures and in vivo crystallography by SFX 11

Downloaded from http://www.cambridge.org/core. UCLA Library, on 13 Dec 2016 at 16:48:35, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at http://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033583516000147

^{*} Author for correspondence: J. A. Rodriguez and J. Miao, Department of Physics & Astronomy and California NanoSystems Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA. Tel.: 310-206-2645; Fax: 310-206-5668; Email: jrodriguez@mbi.ucla.edu or miao@physics.ucla.edu

- 3.3. SFX of membrane proteins 13
- 3.4. Sample delivery methods in SFX 13
 - 3.4.1. Flow-based systems 13
 - 3.4.2. Fixed-target systems and goniometers 13
- 3.5. Phasing in SFX 14
 - 3.5.1. Extensions of traditional phasing 15
 - 3.5.2. Novel phasing methods at XFEL 15

4. SAXS and nanodiffraction 15

- 4.1. SAXS: a brief introduction 16
- 4.2. SAXS/WAXS at XFEL 16
- 4.3. X-ray cross-correlation analysis (XCCA) 16
- 4.4. SAXS with focused beams: X-ray nanodiffraction 16

```
5. Future directions 17
```

Acknowledgements 17

References 17

1. Introduction: modern bio-imaging

Light microscopy, greatly advanced since the days of Hooke and van Leeuwenhoek (Hooke, 1667), now allows routine imaging of living cells, tissues (Osten & Margrie, 2013) and whole organisms in three-dimensions (3D) (Santi, 2011). For centuries, the spatial resolution of light microscopes has been limited to ~200 nm by the wavelength of photons in the visible range of the electromagnetic spectrum. However, thanks to the advent of fluorescent probes and super-resolution techniques, the resolution of light microscopes under certain conditions approaches the tens of nanometers (Huang *et al.* 2009a). This advance coincides with breakthroughs in cryo-electron microscopy, which can now reach near atomic resolution due to the developments in direct electron detectors and advanced 3D image reconstruction algorithms (Battaglia *et al.* 2009; Callaway, 2015; Ludtke *et al.* 1999; Bai *et al.* 2015; Miao *et al.* 2016). Leveraging the progress in both fields, correlative microscopes now offer the combination of light and electron-based imaging for thin (<1 μ m) samples (Watanabe *et al.* 2011).

Compared to light and electron microscopes, X-rays can be used to obtain tomographic renderings of entire living organisms and are a workhorse of medical diagnostics. Large instruments, synchrotrons and X-ray free-electron lasers (XFELs), have been constructed to produce ever-brighter X-ray sources for scientific and industrial use. Despite the index of refraction of X-rays being close to one, X-ray optics are under constant development, and new methods, as well as improved computational resources, make high-resolution X-ray imaging possible (Miao *et al.* 2015). Some of these X-ray structure determination methods can now, in principle, achieve diffraction-limited resolution. A number of Nobel prizes have been awarded for the scientific foundations that underlie our understanding of diffraction and its applications, and many more works continue to fuel new discoveries in the field.

In this paper, we will review some of the key recent developments in X-ray diffraction in relation to biology. We will focus on coherent diffractive imaging methods (CDI), but also discuss new developments in diffraction techniques such as serial femtosecond X-ray crystallography (SFX), small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and nanodiffraction. We will review the broad biological applications enabled by these diffractive imaging methods and coherent X-ray sources such as XFELs.

2. Coherent diffractive imaging

CDI is a lensless imaging technique that promises to fill the gap between light and electron microscopes, and complement existing imaging modalities (Miao *et al.* 2015; Chapman & Nugent, 2010; Kirian & Chapman, 2015; Miao *et al.* 2004). While X-ray microscopes can image µm-thick specimens, they are fundamentally limited by the efficiency, resolution and depth-of-focus of X-ray lenses (de Jonge *et al.* 2014). CDI avoids the use of X-ray lenses to determine the structures of biological specimens in 3D; its resolution is in principle limited by radiation damage (Howells *et al.* 2009; Shen *et al.* 2004). CDI techniques rely on iterative algorithms to reconstruct the complex exit wave from biological specimens (Schlichting & Miao, 2012; Shechtman *et al.* 2015; Mancuso *et al.* 2010; Spence *et al.* 2012). Because of these unique advantages, CDI has broad applications and has been experimentally reformulated in various forms. We focus this section on the application of CDI to

imaging of biological specimens and conclude with a perspective on the future role of CDI in the modern renaissance of microscopic imaging.

2.1 X-ray sources used in CDI

The discovery of X-rays by Röntgen quickly led to applications of the first X-ray sources – vacuum tubes within which electrons were accelerated against a metal target, emitting radiation (bremsstrahlung). This type of X-ray source is compact and still common today. Larger sources of X-rays known as synchrotron radiation are used for research applications to achieve X-ray beams with higher brightness and coherence. In synchrotron radiation, an electron bunch is first accelerated to energies ranging from hundreds of MeV to several GeV and then injected into a circular-shaped storage ring. An electron bunch emits intense X-rays as it travels through insertion devices: undulators and wigglers that can be installed at different locations along the storage ring. At each insertion device, a beam line is constructed to harness and focus the emitted X-rays for various research purposes. Because many different stations can be installed onto a single ring, various experiments are carried out at once in a single synchrotron. A large number of synchrotron facilities are in operation worldwide. More advanced sources are now under development or will emerge from renovations to existing facilities (Eriksson *et al.* 2014). While their flux and coherence grow with each upgrade, synchrotrons suffer intrinsic limitations: their shortest X-ray pulses are measured in picoseconds and their coherent flux and volumes are limited to a fraction of the full beam.

One concept for an ultimate X-ray source involves the use of a linear accelerator to generate ultra-fast and extremely bright X-ray pulses, known as an XFEL. Modern XFELs are a long way from the first FEL demonstrated in the early 1970s (Madey, 1971). XFELs now improve upon synchrotron X-ray beams by several orders of magnitude in coherence, brilliance and pulse duration. Two XFELs in the soft X-ray regime (< 2 keV) are now operational; the first located at DESY in Hamburg, and the second at the Elletra Sincrotrone, in Trieste (Ackermann *et al.* 2007; Allaria *et al.* 2012). Two hard XFELs (> 2 keV) are also operational, one at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory in California, and the other at the RIKEN-SPring-8 center in Japan (Emma *et al.* 2010; Ishikawa *et al.* 2012). Both hard XFELs produce transversely coherent and ultra-short X-ray pulses in the range of a few to hundreds of femtoseconds with a flux of $10^{11}-10^{12}$ photons per pulse and an energy range from 2 to 15 keV. A European XFEL with similar specifications to current hard XFELs, but with a much higher repetition rate, is soon to come online, and several other XFELs are also under construction in Switzerland, South Korea and China.

2.2 The principle of CDI

When a coherent beam of light strikes a non-crystalline object or a nanocrystal, the scattered photons produce what is known as a diffraction pattern. This pattern uniquely encodes the structure of the object. Unlike diffraction from a crystal, a noncrystalline object produces a far field pattern that is continuous. While the intensities in this measured pattern are proportional to the magnitude squared of the Fourier transform of the object, the phase information is lost, which gives rise to the wellknown phase problem in X-ray diffraction. The phase problem has been solved by a number of methods in X-ray crystallography (Hendrickson, 1991; Perutz, 1956; Rossmann & Blow, 1962; Usón & Sheldrick, 1999). However, these methods are not directly applicable to non-crystalline objects in CDI. Instead, the phase problem in CDI is solved using iterative algorithms that require a diffraction pattern be sampled at higher than the Nyquist interval, which is known as an oversampling of the pattern (Miao et al. 1998). Once a diffraction pattern is oversampled such that the number of independently measured data points is larger than the number of unknown variable associated with the object (known as the oversampling criterion), its measured intensities alone can be used to determine the structure of an object (Miao et al. 1999), utilizing Fourier-based iterative algorithms (Chen et al. 2007; Elser, 2003; Fienup, 1978; Gerchberg & Saxton, 1972; Luke, 2005; Marchesini et al. 2003; Rodriguez et al. 2013; Shechtman et al. 2015). The algorithm iterates between real and reciprocal space by using the fast Fourier transform and its inverse (Fig. 1). In each iteration, physical constraints such as support and positivity are enforced in real space, while the measured Fourier magnitudes are applied in reciprocal space. The algorithm is monitored by an error metric, defined as the difference between the measured and calculated Fourier magnitudes. For the first iteration, a random phase set is used as an initial input. After several hundreds to thousands of iterations, the correct phases can be retrieved. Due to the incomplete data and noise present in the experimental measurements, a large number of independent iterative runs are usually performed on each diffraction pattern. Those with the smallest error metric are averaged to produce the final reconstruction.

2.3 A brief history of CDI

The concept of CDI was first suggested by Sayre who considered the determination of structures by a crystallographic method that obviated the need for a crystal (Sayre, 1952, 1980). When an isolated object is irradiated by a coherent wave or an extended object is radiated by a coherent beam with a finite size, the diffraction pattern forms a continuous speckle pattern. If this continuous diffraction pattern is is satisfied with the oversampling criterion (Miao *et al.* 1998), the phase information is



Fig. 1. The principle of CDI. (*a*) A schematic diagram of the basic CDI experimental setup shows a coherent beam impinging upon a sample and producing diffraction that is measured by a detector. The sample can be translated or rotated to produce diffraction from various orientations or regions of the sample. The measured diffraction patterns are sampled finer than the Nyquist interval to allow for *ab initio* phasing, which when accomplished reveals a projected electron density of the sample. (*b*) The phase retrieval process is illustrated as a computational lens. An iterative procedure applies constraints in real and reciprocal space to achieve convergence and produce a solution that satisfies the measured data in reciprocal space and the constraints applied to the reconstructed image in real space, such as support and positivity. During each iteration of the phase retrieval process, the magnitudes ($|F_m|$), which are derived from intensities, are recombined with calculated phases (ϕ_c) from the updated model. A final model is produced that represents the projected electron density of the object most consistent with the measured intensities. By measuring diffraction patterns from different sample orientations, the 3D structure of the sample can be determined using this iterative algorithm.

in principle encoded in the diffraction intensities and can be retrieved by iterative algorithms (Fienup, 1978; Gerchberg & Saxton, 1972). The first experimental demonstration of this method was performed in 1999 by Miao and collaborators using a test specimen (Miao *et al.* 1999). In the decade that followed this landmark experiment, a flurry of activity propelled the use of CDI for the interrogation of varied biological specimens, including cells, organelles, viruses and protein complexes (Miao *et al.* 2015). Currently, a large number of endstations at world-class synchrotron facilities carry out CDI of biological specimens (Table 1), and all the operating free-electron laser facilities in the world are CDI-capable (Amann *et al.* 2012; Emma *et al.* 2010; Ishikawa *et al.* 2012), which provide an opportunity for high-throughput, high-resolution CDI.

2.4 Biological CDI: an overview

The roadmap to routine CDI of biological specimens is a work in progress (Aquila *et al.* 2015). This effort has two primary goals: the first is the correlative high-resolution imaging of large samples such as whole cells, and the other is the high-throughput imaging of macromolecular assemblies or single molecules in 3D. Radiation damage ultimately limits the spatial resolution achieved by CDI of biological specimens (Howells *et al.* 2009; Shen *et al.* 2004). For weakly scattering samples such as cells, faithful measurement of the continuous diffraction pattern across its entire signal range still requires improvement. The collection of high-resolution data needs bright coherent X-ray sources due to the logarithmic decay of diffracted signals from non-crystalline objects. The emergence of 3rd generation synchrotron facilities around the world has opened the door to high-resolution CDI. Advanced detector technologies have played an important role in updated beamlines, giving accurate

Beamline	Source size full-width at half- maximum (FWHM) (µm)	Focused size FWHM (µm)	Coherent flux (ph s ^{-1}) optimized energy	References
ID10 (ESRF)	928 (h) × 23 (v)	10 (h) × 10 (v) (pinhole)	1×10^9 7 keV	(Rodriguez <i>et al.</i> 2015)
21-ID-D (APS)	Focused with zone plate	$0.07 (h) \times 0.07 (v)$	3×10^8 5.2 keV	(Deng et al. 2015)
BL29XU (SPring8)	1600 (h) × 700 (v)	10 (h) × 10 (v) (pinhole)	1×10^7 5.5 keV	(Xu et al. 2011)
cSAXS (PSI)	1500 (h) × 800 (v)	20 (h) × 5 (v)	5×10^9 11.2 keV	(Diaz et al. 2015)
9C-CXS (PLS)	2950 (h) × 590 (v)	190 (h) × 15 (v)	4.4×10^9 5 keV	(Yu et al. 2014)
P10 (PETRA III)	250 (h) × 250 (v)	$5/0.3$ (h) $\times 5/0.3$ (v) (dependent on focusing optics)	$10^9 \sim 10^{11}$ 7.9 keV (dependent on focusing optics)	(Giewekemeyer <i>et al.</i> 2015)
5-3-2-1 (ALS)	Focused with zone plate	$0.025-0.1$ (h) $\times 0.025-0.1$ (v)	$5 \times 10^5 \mid 0.6-2 \text{ keV}$	(Shapiro et al. 2014)
SACLA	35 (h) × 35 (h)	$1.5 (h) \times 1.5 (v)$	$\sim 5 \times 10^{11}$ 8 keV (per pulse)	(Ishikawa et al. 2012)
LCLS (CXI)	60 (h) \times 60 (v)	$1.3-0.15$ (h) $\times 1.3-0.09$ (v) (dependent on focusing optics)	~10 ¹² 8.3 keV (per pulse)	(Emma et al. 2010)
FLASH	160 (h)×160 (v)	n/a	$\sim 10^{13}$ 155.5 eV (per pulse train)	(Ackermann <i>et al.</i> 2007)
FERMI	150 (h) × 150 (v)	n/a	$\sim 10^{12-13}$ 62 eV (per pulse)	(Allaria <i>et al.</i> 2012)

Table 1. Profiles and specifications of CDI beamlines worldwide established at 3rd generation synchrotrons and XFELs

measurements of weak diffraction signals at high spatial frequencies (Hart *et al.* 2012; Hatsui & Graafsma, 2015; Henrich *et al.* 2009; Kameshima *et al.* 2014; Ponchut *et al.* 2011; Strüder *et al.* 2010). New CDI methods have also been developed to accommodate different sample geometries. Once limited to isolated non-crystalline objects, CDI is now performed on large continuous samples by scanning methods (Faulkner & Rodenburg, 2004; Maiden & Rodenburg, 2009; Thibault *et al.* 2008). Crystals are also explored using CDI by careful measurement of their oversampled Bragg reflections (Ayyer *et al.* 2016; Chapman & Nugent, 2010; Huang *et al.* 2012; Pfeifer *et al.* 2006; Robinson *et al.* 2001; Takahashi *et al.* 2013). Combined improvements to CDI methods, X-ray facilities and detectors have made practical the application of CDI to cells, cellular organelles, viruses and even large protein molecules.

2.4.1 Biological CDI: early experiments

After the first successful demonstration of CDI on a test sample (Miao *et al.* 1999), excitement turned toward the imaging of biological materials: starting with cells and toward single large macromolecular complexes. The first biological CDI experiment was carried out using *Escherichia coli* bacteria labeled with manganese-tagged yellow fluorescent protein. Correlative studies between CDI and fluorescence microscopy show the distribution of protein inside the bacteria with a resolution of 30 nm (Miao *et al.* 2003). Next, CDI was used to image freeze-dried *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and reveal their subcellular components with minimal structural changes (Shapiro *et al.* 2005). In a related experiment, molecular labeling tools were used to enhance the contrast and diffraction signal from the cells, producing 2D images with a spatial resolution of 11 nm (Nelson *et al.* 2010). To maintain the integrity of biological specimens during the high-dose exposures required for high-resolution CDI, cryogenic techniques typical in electron microscopy and crystallography were adapted. In one study, yeast cells were plunge-frozen into liquid ethane and kept in vitreous ice under cryogenic temperatures. CDI images reveal the structure of the frozen-hydrated yeast cells at a resolution of 25 nm without the need for labels (Huang *et al.* 2009b). In another study, frozen-hydrated *Deinococcus radiodurans* bacteria were bathed in a cryogenic nitrogen gas jet and imaged with coherent X-rays at a resolution of 30–50 nm (Lima *et al.* 2009). The implementation of cryogenic techniques has been an important step toward one of CDI's major goals: high-resolution 3D imaging of whole cells in their native state.

Meanwhile, CDI has been explored to image smaller biological objects such as virus particles. Using 3rd generation synchrotron radiation, coherent X-ray diffraction patterns were measured from a single unstained herpesvirus. To monitor the potential radiation damage to the virus, three diffraction patterns were sequentially measured, each with a radiation dose of 3.5×10^7 Gy. Careful examination of the patterns indicated no measurable change. The three patterns were added to produce a final dataset, from which an image of the herpesvirus virion was reconstructed with a resolution of 22 nm (Song *et al.* 2008). It was not until the emergence of XFELs that similarly sized or smaller macromolecular complexes were imaged again using CDI. In addition to viruses, CDI was also used to image bone biomineralization at nanometer scale resolution. From a sample of intramuscular fish bone, CDI revealed the spatial relationship between mineral crystals and the collagen matrix at different stages of mineralization (Jiang *et al.* 2008). A dynamic model was proposed to explain the nucleation and growth of mineral crystals in the collagen matrix. This experiment illustrated the utility of using CDI to investigate biological materials.

2.4.2 Biological CDI in 3D

Successful 3D CDI reconstructions were first achieved using radiation-hard samples in a dehydrated state. A fixed and airdried human chromosome in its condensed state was the first to be imaged (Nishino *et al.* 2009). Using hard X-rays, 38 patterns spanning an angular range of 140°, were combined to produce a 3D reconstruction of the chromosome with a 2D resolution of 38 nm and a 3D resolution of 120 nm. The lower resolution in 3D was attributed to radiation damage incurred by the sample due to the 2×10^{10} Gy it received during measurement. Next, a radiation hard yeast spore was reconstructed in 3D, also using hard X-rays (Jiang *et al.* 2010). This time a resolution of 50 nm was achieved in 3D from only 25 projections spanning nearly 140° (Fig. 2*a*). The sample sustained a total dose of only $5 \cdot 25 \times 10^8$ Gy. The strategy of limiting dose to a small number of high-resolution projections produces better results in 3D, but requires advanced 3D image reconstruction algorithms from a limited number of 2D projections (Miao *et al.* 2005; Fahimian *et al.* 2010; Lee *et al.* 2008).

2.4.3 Cryogenic CDI in 3D

The 3D structure of a hydrated cell in its native state was recently obtained by combining cryogenic methods with 3D CDI techniques (Rodriguez et al. 2015). The cell, a protozoan parasite relative of malaria named Neospora caninum, was suspended in a cryogenic gas jet while a tomographic data series was acquired consisting of 72 diffraction patterns spanning approximately 111° $(-60.6-50.9^{\circ})$. The cell endured a dose of 4.55×10^8 Gy without showing signs of damage based on a comparison between diffraction patterns before, during and after data collection. The patterns were assembled into a 3D diffraction matrix, which was phased to produce the structure of the cell in its native, frozen state (Fig. 2c) with a full period spatial resolution of 74 nm in the direction perpendicular to the beam, and 99 nm in the beam direction. The 3D reconstruction revealed the subcellular architecture of the cell, broadly outlining the unique organelle assembly of the parasite (Fig. 2c). An intact 3D structure of this cell, too large for high-resolution structural analysis by electron microscopy, would otherwise remain out of reach. Although in this case data collection was achieved within a 5-h timeframe, the time is expected to decrease significantly as dedicated beamlines optimize the workflow for this type of experiment, and as brighter sources become available. Algorithms are also rapidly improving to provide users with rapid reconstructions from their diffraction data (Chen et al. 2014; Elser, 2003; Guizar-Sicairos et al. 2011; Luke, 2005; Marchesini et al. 2016; Rodriguez et al. 2013; Thibault & Guizar-Sicairos, 2012). Meanwhile, new CDI techniques have been developed to cope with non-isolated samples. One new method, termed ptychographic CDI (Rodenburg et al. 2007; Thibault et al. 2008), has adapted the phase retrieval methods in CDI to the geometry of scanning transmission X-ray microscopy and in doing so allowed the investigation of complex systems from single cells to whole tissues.

2.5 Ptychographic CDI

Ptychographic CDI scans a confined beam across an extended sample, acquiring diffraction patterns along the way (Fig. 3*a*). In this way, it effectively extends the coherence length of the source and relaxes requirements on sample preparation; it is no longer necessary for the specimen under investigation to be isolated. After the initial X-ray demonstration (Rodenburg *et al.* 2007), dry but unstained microbes were among the first targets for X-ray ptychography (Giewekemeyer *et al.* 2010). Ptychographic images of *D. radiodurans* were reconstructed with a resolution of 85 nm; the radiation hard cells tolerated a dose of 1.5×10^5 Gy. These robust cells remained the subject of later studies, which would achieve a resolution of 50 nm for cells in a dehydrated state using ptychographic techniques (Wilke *et al.* 2012). The combination of cryogenic and ptychographic techniques would again lead to the imaging of frozen hydrated yeast cells at high resolution (Lima *et al.* 2013).

Ptychographic CDI was later applied to more complex cell types using soft X-rays (Maiden *et al.* 2013). Balb/3T3 mouse fibroblast cells treated with $CoFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles were imaged using soft X-ray ptychography, whilst varying the X-ray wavelength across the *L* absorption edge of iron (between 694 and 724 eV). The resultant images allowed the localization of nanoparticle clusters, although individual nanoparticles could not be revealed due to the modest resolution of 250 nm (Maiden *et al.* 2013). This has been taken one step further with fully hydrated, but fixed cells being imaged within the water window by ptychography (Jones *et al.* 2016). Careful characterization of the cellular mass density of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) through a combination of ptychography and X-ray fluorescence experiments allowed quantitative determination of the molar concentration of several elements within different sub-cellular compartments. Ptychography has benefited enormously from extensive algorithm development, with close to real-time reconstructions now being possible (Maiden & Rodenburg, 2009; Marchesini *et al.* 2016; Nashed *et al.* 2014; Thibault & Guizar-Sicairos, 2012; Thibault *et al.* 2009). One important development in ptychography has been the introduction of multiple probe 'modes' (Burdet *et al.* 2015; Thibault & Menzel, 2013). This allows not only the use of partially coherent sources, such as table-top and HHG X-ray sources, but also novel fast scan geometries (Huang *et al.* 2015).

journals.cambridge.org/qrb



Fig. 2. Quantitative 3D imaging of cells and subcellular structures by CDI. (*a*) 3D reconstruction of a yeast spore (left) with individual organelles highlighted: nucleus (orange), vacuole (white), ER (green), granules (light blue) and mitochondria (blue). Enlarged view of the nucleus (right) showing its internal morphology, including the nucleolus (inset). Adapted by permission from National Academy of Sciences: *PNAS* (Jiang *et al.* 2010), copyright (2010). (*b*) Volume rendering of the 3D architecture of a whole, unstained mouse micronucleus (left). Nuclear substructures are indicated, including nuclear envelope (gray), chromatin (blue), nucleolus-like structure (red), condensed chromatin (green) and nuclear blobs (cyan). Enlarged view of the nucleolus, condensed chromatin and nuclear blobs (right). Adapted by permission from Elsevier: *Biophysical Journal* (Song *et al.* 2014), copyright (2014) (*c*) 3D sub-cellular architecture of a frozen-hydrated *N. caninum* cell. Various substructures are highlighted and their relative size and position within the cell are indicated as follows: (1) cell boundary (pearl), (2) rhoptries (red), (3) possible apicoplast (orange), (4) nucleus (brown), (5) mitochondrion (blue). Adapted with permission from the International Union of Crystallography: *IUCrJ* (Rodriguez *et al.* 2015), copyright (2015).



Fig. 3. Ptychographic CDI (*a*) A schematic diagram of a ptychography experiment. A confined coherent beam (probe) impinges on a small region of an extended object. Scanning across the sample produces multiple diffraction patterns from overlapping regions. Images of these confined regions can be reconstructed to retrieve an image of the entire extended object. The overlap between individual images provides extra constraints for phase retrieval. (*b*) 3D rendering of a ptychographic reconstruction of a mouse femur indicating (L) ostocyte lacunae and (C) the connecting canaliculi (left). An iso-surface rendering of the lacunocanalicular network obtained by segmentation (right). Adapted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: *Nature* (Dierolf *et al.* 2010), copyright (2010). (*c*) Elemental distributions of P, S, K and Ca within a frozen hydrated *C. reinhardtii alga* (right). Phase image of the same alga reconstructed by ptychography. A number of organelles are identified: cell wall (Cw), polyphosphate bodies (Ph), pyrenoid (Py), thylakoids (Th), chloroplasts (Ch), startch granuale (Sg) and starch sheath (Sh) (left). The white spots are due to beam-induced damage caused by a stage malfunction during the scan. Adapted by permission from the National Academy of Sciences: *PNAS* (Deng *et al.* 2015), copyright (2015).

2.5.1 Tomographic ptychography

For even more robust biological specimens, tomographic ptychography is now a reality. The tomographic structure of a small bone sample was recovered by ptychographic methods in which structures on the 100 nm length scale were observed (Dierolf *et al.* 2010) (Fig. 3*b*). A similar approach has been used to image nanostructures within human dentine (Zanette *et al.* 2015). In this experiment, 360 ptychographic projection images, spanning a 180° angular range, were measured and used to compute the 3D structure of a 50 µm dentine splinter to an isotropic resolution of 190 nm (Zanette *et al.* 2015). By accurately quantifying the mass density of the reconstruction from the recovered complex transmission function it was possible to classify different tubular nanostructures within the sample. In attempts to reconstruct smaller cell types via cryogenic tomographic ptychography include the imaging of *Chlamydomas reinhardtii* 137c cells both embedded in vitreous ice and a 3D structure was recovered using a novel reconstruction algorithm (Guizar-Sicairos *et al.* 2011) to a resolution of 180 nm in ice and 150 nm in resin. The mass density of the reconstruction was computed and used to identify and segment various cellular components (Diaz *et al.* 2015). Current improvements in end station design, sample preparation and reconstruction algorithms aim to improve these resolutions even further.

2.6 Low-dose CDI for imaging fully hydrated cells

CDI methods excel in achieving high-resolution when inert samples are imaged, either dry or cryogenically preserved. However, living organisms, prone to movement and sensitive to the effects of radiation damage remained an elusive target for CDI. That changed in a recent demonstration where 2D projections of fully hydrated cells were obtained using 5 keV X-rays generated by a 3rd generation synchrotron source (Nam *et al.* 2013). Projections were obtained from two different subject cells, a yeast cell and a fresh water cyanobacterium. Each of the cells tolerated a high dose ($\sim 9 \times 10^7$ and 1×10^8 , respectively) required to produce images with resolutions better than 40 nm. This demonstration was an important first step toward imaging of live cells at high resolution. A complementary step toward routine CDI of living cells is fast, low-dose data acquisition; this was achieved recently by means of a dose-efficient method. A 2D projection of red blood cells infected with the malaria parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum*, was computed from data acquired with a dose as low as 1.2 kGy (Jones *et al.* 2014). This translates to an exposure time of only 7 s in some 3rd generation synchrotron beam lines. Such efficient exposures would facilitate measurement of near real-time dynamics from living cells at high resolution. Live imaging techniques also open the door to correlative approaches, where light microscopy is now leaping to better spatial resolution.

2.7 Correlative CDI

The first experiment of correlating CDI with fluorescence microscopy was performed on manganese-tagged yellow fluorescent proteins inside *E. coli* (Miao *et al.* 2003). In subsequent attempts, 3D CDI was correlated with fluorescence microscopy to reveal the 3D ultrastructure of mammalian nuclei with a resolution in the tens of nanometers (Song *et al.* 2014). A more recent demonstration of CDI as a correlative tool is the combination of ptychographic and X-ray fluorescence microscopy at cryogenic temperatures (Deng *et al.* 2015). The projected image of a single frozen-hydrated green algae cell was resolved using 5·2 keV X-rays (Fig. 3*c*), with a spatial resolution better than 30 nm obtained from diffracted X-rays, and better than 90 nm from fluorescence emitted by the sample. Images of X-ray induced fluorescence were obtained from several trace elements, including potassium, sulfur and phosphorous, to generate a composite image that provided not only the structure of the cell in question, but also a partial view of its chemical makeup. The results, while impressive, remain 2D, making complex structures within the visualized cell difficult to interpret. In 3D, such a method would provide unprecedented access to the inner workings of whole cells with high spatial resolution and multimodal sources of structural and chemical information.

2.8 Tabletop CDI

While CDI is now performed routinely at 3rd and 4th generation X-ray sources worldwide, it remains out of reach for the biology community at large. Tabletop X-ray sources aim to broaden the accessibility to CDI while promising the high temporal and spatial resolutions of XFEL sources (Murnane & Miao, 2009). In a first demonstration of CDI with high-harmonic generation (HHG) sources, the image of a test mask was obtained with a resolution of 214 nm using a 29 nm HHG beam, and the resolution was then improved to 70–90 nm by using a soft X-ray laser and a HHG source (Sandberg *et al.* 2007, 2008). Later, a laser-driven ultra-short tabletop X-ray source was used to probe the structure of another test mask (Ravasio *et al.* 2009). From single 20 fs long coherent X-ray pulses, projections of the test mask were recovered with a spatial resolution of 119 nm; the combination of several pulses produced projections with 62 nm resolution. More recently, tabletop CDI has been demonstrated with a resolution of 22 nm using a 13 nm HHG source (Seaberg *et al.* 2011). Meanwhile, tabletop HHG sources are rapidly advancing with the goal of producing coherent, ultra-short, bright, X-rays in the keV range (Popmintchev *et al.* 2012). These and other similar improvements are expected to make the investigation of cellular and molecular structures more accessible by CDI.

2.9 CDI with XFELs

Though much progress has been made in CDI at synchrotron sources, radiation damage still remains an insurmountable barrier. The theoretical upper resolution limit of cryogenic CDI was estimated to be 5–10 nm, based on the intersection of an experimentally determined maximum tolerable dose of biological material and the Rose criterion for imaging (Howells *et al.* 2009; Shen *et al.* 2004). Bright new X-ray sources promise to beat the current resolution limits of X-ray methods by capturing diffraction from samples faster than damage occurs. The possibility of obtaining damage-free structures by acquiring diffraction data before the onset of radiation damage was first suggested in 1986 (Solem, 1986). The feasibility of such experiments was later hypothesized through molecular dynamics simulations based on the projected parameters, including flux, spot size, and pulse duration of XFEL-based experiments (Neutze *et al.* 2000).

Intense coherent X-ray pulses produced by free-electron lasers were first used to reconstruct the projection image of a micropatterned structure directly from its oversampled diffraction pattern (Chapman *et al.* 2006). The diffraction pattern was produced using 32 nm X-rays from intense coherent 25 fs pulses with 10^{12} photons each. By achieving signal with diffractionlimited resolution before any measurable damage was experienced by the sample, this first study proved the concept of 'diffraction-before-destruction,' now a mainstay of XFEL experiments (Chapman *et al.* 2006). It was not long before, based on this very principle, a single virus particle was introduced to a femtosecond X-ray pulse from an XFEL. The virus in question, a giant mimivirus, was vaporized by the pulse but left a continuous oversampled diffraction pattern in its wake (Seibert *et al.* 2011). A 2D projection of this virus was resolved with a spatial resolution of 32 nm. A high-throughput method was soon afterward developed to extend this approach and diffract from a heterogeneous mixture of polyhedral cell organelles of varying size, known as carboxysomes (Hantke *et al.* 2014). Such high-throughput methods could one day help catalog the inners of cells or the myriad of microorganisms whose structures remain unknown.



The difficulty of interpreting summed electron density from 2D projections alone poses a challenge for high-throughput CDI experiments using XFELs. That is why one goal of high-throughput XFEL-based diffraction methods remains the acquisition of 3D data by combination of patterns from many identical copies of a sample in different orientations (Bogan *et al.* 2010). However, under special circumstances, this strategy can be circumvented to recover a 3D structure. Provided certain prior information can be assumed of the sample in question, for example, by taking advantage of the curvature of the measured diffraction pattern and symmetries intrinsic to a nanostructure, a single-measured pattern can provide 3D information (Raines *et al.* 2010; Mutzafi *et al.* 2015; Xu *et al.* 2014). By turning one pattern into many, the 3D structure of a highly symmetric gold nanoparticle was retrieved with a spatial resolution of 5.5 nm from femtosecond XFEL pulses (Xu *et al.* 2014). If one cannot rely on symmetry, enough identical copies of a sample must be intercepted by ultra-short and bright coherent X-ray pulses to produce a 3D set of diffracted intensities and permit retrieval of the structure in question (Fung *et al.* 2009; Loh *et al.* 2010; Miao *et al.* 2002). This has recently been experimentally demonstrated by the reconstruction of the giant mimivirus with a spatial resolution of nearly 100 nm from 198 high-quality patterns produced by XFEL pulses (Ekeberg *et al.* 2015) (Fig. 4a).

2.9.2 Live cell imaging and correlative CDI with XFELs

Due to their ability to probe structures without concern for radiation damage, XFEL sources have also been used for CDI of living cells. Robust, heat-resistant bacteria, *Microbacterium lacticum*, were placed in a sealed X-ray transparent enclosure and targeted by femtosecond XFEL pulses (Kimura *et al.* 2014). The diffraction patterns measured from these cells extended to a resolution of 28 nm. Inversion of this pattern produced a 2D projection image of the cells in question, capturing the structure of the cells moments before their vaporization. A high-throughput implementation of this approach was later demonstrated on aerosolized cyanobacteria, *C. gracile* cells. Two-dimensional projection images of the cells were directly obtained from the inversion of a myriad of patterns, each from a single femtosecond pulse (van der Schot *et al.* 2015). Such studies can also be combined with synchrotron X-ray experiments. The combination of synchrotron X-rays and ultrafast XFEL pulses has been applied to the characterization of RNA interference microsponges (Gallagher-Jones *et al.* 2014). A complete 3D structure of the sponge obtained from an XFEL pulse with a resolution of 17 nm (Gallagher-Jones *et al.* 2014) (Fig. 4b). This approach could be used to pre-characterize a specimen by synchrotron methods before obtaining high-resolution information at a critical time point.

3. Serial femtosecond X-ray crystallography

Another important method borne out of CDI and new XFEL sources is serial femtosecond X-ray crystallography (SFX) (Bogan, 2013; Feld & Frank, 2014; Martin-Garcia *et al.* 2016; Neutze *et al.* 2015; Schlichting, 2015; Spence *et al.* 2012). By allowing the interrogation of micron-sized protein crystals at room temperature and free of radiation damage, SFX is advancing a revolution in protein crystallography (Schlichting & Miao, 2012; Schlichting, 2015) (Fig. 5). The first experimental demonstration of single-shot diffraction from a periodic structure was performed at the FLASH facility in Hamburg, Germany (Mancuso *et al.* 2009) (Fig. 4c). In that experiment, several periodic nanostructured patterns were etched into a Si₃N₄ substrate, acting as an artificial 2D crystal. These patterns were exposed to individual pulse trains produced by FLASH, each pulse train exposing the samples to 1.5×10^{10} , fully coherent photons. The resulting diffraction then showed Bragg peaks with diffuse scattering in between. A real space image was recovered through iterative phase retrieval with a resolution of ~38 nm. The limited resolution was mostly attributed to experimental restrictions, such as detector geometry and the long wavelength, 7.97 nm, of the incident X-ray pulses (Mancuso *et al.* 2009). Nevertheless this demonstration proved the feasibility of single-shot imaging of small periodic objects.

3.1 SFX: first demonstration

These ideas were later built upon using the LCLS (Linac Coherent Light Source) at Stanford (Chapman *et al.* 2011). In the experiments that followed, diffraction was recorded from single-protein crystals, including those grown from the photosystem I (PSI) complex. These crystals were exposed to single XFEL pulses, carrying $\sim 10^{12}$ photons. Since crystals were destroyed by a single pulse, new crystals were delivered to the interaction zone in a continuous stream via a liquid injector, equipped with a gas dynamic virtual nozzle (GDVN) (Weierstall *et al.* 2012). This experimental geometry gave the technique of SFX. In SFX, single crystals can only give rise to partial, incomplete reflections for a given diffraction pattern. To properly assess the intensity of a reflection, many samplings must be combined. At the time, it took more than one hundred thousand measured



Fig. 4. CDI experiments facilitated by XFEL. (*a*) First ten (top left) and full-set (top right) of 198 individual single-shot diffraction patterns taken from individual, aerosolized mimiviruses interrogated by XFEL pulses, assembled to create a 3D pattern. A wedge is cut out of the fully assembled 3D pattern to allow the central region to be viewed. 3D density map of a mimivirus particle reconstructed by 3D phase retrieval from the assembled 3D pattern (bottom). The image consists of a series of isosurface renderings going from low (most translucent) to high (least translucent) density. The black line indicates the pseudo-fivefold axis. Subfigure (*a*) adapted with permission from (Ekenberg *et al.* 2015). (Copyrighted by the American Physical Society. (*b*) 3D reconstruction of an RNAi microsponge produced by synchrotron-based, tomographic CDI (top) (Gallagher-Jones *et al.* 2014). A high-density 'core-like' region is rendered in red. Projections along the *x* and *y*-axes are illustrated. 2D projection image of a RNAi sponge precursor captured by single-shot CDI using an XFEL pulse (bottom). The color bar represents relative electron density and the scale bar is 250 nm. (*c*) Centro-symmetrized diffraction pattern of a periodic structure captured at FLASH. The pattern shows both Bragg peaks and continuous diffraction between them (inset). Subfigure (*c*) adapted with permission from (Mancuso *et al.* 2009). (Copyrighted by the American Physical Society.)

diffraction patterns, and over fifteen thousand indexed patterns from randomly orientated crystals to assemble a fully sampled 3D reciprocal lattice. The final structure was resolved to 8-5 Å. Interestingly, interference patterns between Bragg peaks were also observed at low resolution and were used to directly reconstruct the shape of the crystal by the Bragg CDI method (Huang *et al.* 2012; Pfeifer *et al.* 2006; Robinson *et al.* 2001). While at this resolution the damage-free nature of the structure was challenging to assess, subsequent experiments have indicated that, for many crystals, including those of known radiation sensitive proteins such as cytochrome *c* oxidase, the structures remain intact when diffracted by SFX methods (Hirata *et al.* 2014) (Fig. 5).

3.2 Time-resolved experiments, new structures and in vivo crystallography by SFX

These initial demonstrations opened the door to a variety of experiments. The first of these was to prove that SFX, when using hard X-rays, could achieve similar results to those already possible at synchrotrons. The structure of the model protein Lysozyme was solved to 1.9 Å resolution from microcrystals approximately $1 \times 1 \times 3 \mu m^3$ in size (Boutet *et al.* 2012). Similarly, the approaches were also applied to Photosystem II (PSII). Initial experiments resolved the room temperature structure of PSII at 6.5 Å, later improved to 5.7 and 4.5 Å (Kern *et al.* 2012, 2013, 2014). Through the use of an optical laser it was possible to monitor the time evolution of light-dependent conformational changes in PSII using either simultaneous X-ray emission spectroscopy (Kern *et al.* 2013, 2014) or time-resolved crystallography (Kupitz *et al.* 2014). The latter of these experiments revealed the structure of PSII in its dark (S1) or double excited (S3) states at 5.0 and 5.5 Å, respectively.

The first novel structure to be solved by SFX was achieved by a method for crystallization termed *in vivo* crystallography (Koopmann *et al.* 2012). Microcrystals of *Trypanosoma brucei* capthesin B (TbCatB) enzyme, in its glycosylated form, were crystalized natively in insect cells. These crystals proved incredibly robust, puncturing the cellular membrane and with-standing conventional SEM and TEM imaging (Koopmann *et al.* 2012). Initial SFX studies observed diffraction out to a modest resolution of 7-5 Å. This was later improved, ultimately revealing a structure at 2-1 Å (Redecke *et al.* 2013). This natively inhibited form of TbCatb provided important new insight into its mechanism of activation, demonstrating that SFX could be used to reveal new structural information. *In vivo* SFX has also been used to study crystals of an insecticidal toxin, Cry3A, found natively within *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Sawaya *et al.* 2014). Here, whole cells suspended in culture media were exposed to



Fig. 5. SFX determines damage-free atomic-resolution protein structures. (*a*) The basic components of an XFEL are illustrated: electron bunches begin at the linear accelerator, which drives these pulses past an undulator train, generating coherent X-ray pulses only femtoseconds long. The electron bunches are diverted leaving X-ray pulses to travel past X-ray optics and be directed toward an end station where further optics, sample manipulators and detectors await. (*b*) A diagrammatic layout (left) of an end station shows a sample chamber followed by one or more detectors for diffraction pattern collection, all within a single vacuum enclosure. A train of pulses enters a sample chamber and is diffracted by a single sample at a time. A schematic representation illustrates the time scales and distances involved in diffraction by a crystal from a single X-ray pulse (right). Damage processes and net diffraction are qualitatively shown, illustrating the decay of diffracted intensity as a function of X-ray damage as a function of pulse duration. (*c*) A graphic interpretation of a single pulse striking a nanocrystal is shown indicating radiation damage culminating in a Coulomb explosion only after a diffraction pattern is produced and ultimately recorded by a downstream detector. The time scale for this process is measured in femtoseconds. (*d*) The structure of the insecticidal toxin Cry3A protein, from which nanocrystals were grown in *B. thuringiensis* cells (left) has been determined using SFX (right) (Sawaya *et al.* 2014). Density for a number of coordinated water molecules determined by this structure is shown in blue. (*e*) A damage-free structure of bovine cytochrome *c* oxidase has also been determined, shown in ribbon diagram. The structure is judged to be radiation free given the clear presence of electron density for a radiation sensitive peroxide (green mesh, yellow atoms) in the structure (Hirata *et al.* 2014). The peroxide is coordinated adjacent to a HEME ligand (red mesh, orange sticks).

individual XFEL pulses without the need for crystal extraction and purification, producing a structure of the toxin in its native state, resolved to 2.9 Å.

3.3 SFX of membrane proteins

SFX has particularly benefitted membrane proteins. While membrane proteins are of significant clinical importance, accounting for roughly 60% of all current drug targets, <1% of deposited protein structures are from membrane proteins (Moraes *et al.* 2014). New crystal delivery methods, in combination with specialized crystallization media, such as the lipidic sponge phase (LSP) and lipidic cubic phase (LCP), have been used to solve a variety of membrane protein structures by SFX. The first of these was the structure of a bacterial photosynthetic reaction center, resolved at 3-5 Å from microcrystals produced in LSP (Johansson *et al.* 2013). The method was adapted for use with LCP, which has a much higher viscosity, through an adapted liquid jet injector that operates at much higher pressures, up to 68 MPa (Weierstall *et al.* 2014). This system was subsequently used to solve the structure of several important transmembrane receptors, bound or unbound to their respective ligand. These include the G-protein-couple receptors (GPCR) 5 – HT2B at 2-8 Å (Liu *et al.* 2013), Rhodopsin with bound arrestin at ~3-8 Å (Kang *et al.* 2015), the angiotensin II receptor at 2-9 Å (Zhang *et al.* 2015) and the human δ -opioid receptor at 2-7 Å (Fenalti *et al.* 2015).

3.4 Sample delivery methods in SFX

The success of SFX has required the development of new sample delivery devices such that a continuous stream of fresh protein crystals is supplied to destructive X-ray pulses (Fig. 6). In this way, it can be assured that each new X-ray pulse encounters an un-exposed crystal. Due to the high repetition rate of XFELs, and the even higher repetition rate projected for future sources, the most efficient method of delivering fresh sample has been an area of intensive research. These systems can broadly be separated into two distinct categories; flow-based systems, whereby samples move freely in a media that is continuously moving through the XFEL interaction zone, and fixed-target systems, in which samples are mounted on a substrate and moved relative to the XFEL pulses.

3.4.1 Flow-based systems

Early experiments relied on a liquid jet injector equipped with a GDVN (Weierstall *et al.* 2012). The GDVN can produce liquid steams between 300 and 5 μ m wide and ensures that crystals remain fully solvated throughout the complete exposure. However, in this delivery strategy many of the crystals pass through the interaction zone without being exposed to the XFEL pulse (Chapman *et al.* 2011). The high rate of sample consumption by GDVN-like devices limits the solution of novel structures from samples that cannot produce high titers of nano/microcrystals.

New instruments for sample delivery attempt to reduce sample consumption and improve hit rates by creating crystal jets with highly viscous liquids. This is convenient for membrane protein crystals already grown in or compatible with LCP (Weierstall *et al.* 2014). Where LCP is not necessary or compatible, a generic grease matrix can be used (Sugahara *et al.* 2015). This strategy reduces flow rates by an order of magnitude and improves crystal hit rates from 0.1 to \sim 7.8% in the case of the LCP injector (Weierstall *et al.* 2014). Other approaches being explored include electrospinning liquid jets (Sierra *et al.* 2012), and encapsulating crystals in droplets produced by acoustic droplet injection. In this last strategy, the production of droplets can be synchronized with the repetition rate of XFEL pulses (Roessler *et al.* 2013) to further minimize sample consumption.

3.4.2 Fixed-target systems and goniometers

A goniometer-based approach adapted from synchrotron crystallography experiments, holds crystals on a solid mount, so that their orientation can be controlled (Fig. 6). This method allows investigation of crystals in a wide variety of crystallization conditions with a hit rate near 100%. Using this approach, data can be measured from a single crystal with a known experimental geometry, limiting ambiguities that may arise with snapshots of randomly orientated crystals. Large macroscopic crystals mounted at cryogenic temperatures can be interrogated by short XFEL pulses to study the radiation-sensitive active site of proteins (Hirata *et al.* 2014). By raster scanning the crystal with a step size that is larger than the propagation of damage induced by individual XFEL pulses (\sim 50 µm), the structure of cytochrome *c* oxidase has been resolved in its oxidized form with a resolution of 1.9 Å (Hirata *et al.* 2014).

Other goniometer-based approaches include the incorporation of gridded crystal mounts currently used in microfocus X-ray crystallography beamlines (Baxter *et al.* 2016; Cohen *et al.* 2014; Lyubimov *et al.* 2015; Sherrell *et al.* 2015), and an emulsion-based microfluidics chip that acts as both a sample mount and a crystallization platform (Feld *et al.* 2015). Alternative

journals.cambridge.org/qrb



Fig. 6. Sample delivery methods for SFX. Flow-based sample delivery methods. (*a*) GVDN delivering crystals immersed in solution (Weierstall *et al.* 2012). (*b*) Droplet stream produced by acoustic droplet ejection (Roessler *et al.* 2013). (*c*) LCP injector for delivering crystals immersed in viscous media (Weierstall *et al.* 2014). Fixed-target-based sample delivery methods. (*d*) Large, multi-window, Si₃N₄ membrane array with close-up (inset) illustrating raster scan procedure on individual windows (Hunter *et al.* 2014). (*e*) Traditional goniometer-based setup for radiation damage-free investigation of large crystals, red circles indicate XFEL exposure sites (Hirata *et al.* 2014). (*f*) Goniometer mounted grid for high-density sample mounting (Cohen *et al.* 2014). (*g*) Sample diffraction patterns (top left) and assembled patterns (top right) captured from nanocrystals of photosystem I. 8-5 Å density map of photosystem I calculated from room temperature SFX data (bottom left) and cryo-protected synchrotron data (bottom right). Adapted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: *Nature* (Chapman *et al.* 2011), copyright (2011). (*h*) Typical single-shot diffraction pattern from REP24 crystals mounted on Si₃N₄ membranes in Paratone-N. Adapted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: *Scientific Reports* (Hunter *et al.* 2014), copy-right (2014).

approaches involve the use of X-ray transparent substrates, such as Si_3N_4 membranes, as crystal mounts. Nanocrystals are dispersed onto several nm thick Si_3N_4 membranes in random orientations, suspended in paratone-N to prevent dehydration (Hunter *et al.* 2014). Prepared membranes can be raster scanned along the XFEL beam path at a data acquisition rate of 10 Hz. This technique has also been adopted for the study of 2D crystals, typically studied by electron diffraction. Here protein crystals were embedded in sugar (2% sucrose) to prevent dehydration, and a resolution of 8 Å was achieved (Hunter *et al.* 2014) (Fig. 6).

3.5 Phasing in SFX

Several previously unknown structures have been solved by SFX; their solutions relied upon molecular replacement for phasing. *De novo* phasing of protein structures has also been demonstrated using SFX (Barends *et al.* 2014). In this study, crystals of a lysozyme derivative with two heavy atoms (gadolinium) per asymmetric unit were used to solve a 2·1 Å structure of the protein by likelihood SAD phasing. Due to the technical limitations of data reduction in SFX, the strength of the anomalous signal from the heavy atoms was dampened. High demands are placed on the number and quality of measured patterns for experimental phasing by SFX (Kirian *et al.* 2010; White *et al.* 2012). Several approaches have been introduced to improve the accuracy of pattern indexing, thereby reducing the overall demand on data quantity. These include using pairwise correlation and means clustering of patterns (Hattne *et al.* 2014), an expectation maximization approach (Liu & Spence, 2014), and postprocessing techniques that have bolstered data statistics and revised the partiality model used for peak integration, improving phasing power (Ginn *et al.* 2015; Uervirojnangkoorn *et al.* 2015).

3.5.1 Extensions of traditional phasing

The feasibility of sulfur/chlorine single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) phasing was first observed from a large dataset taken from over 400 000 microcrystals of lysozyme, solved to $3 \cdot 2$ Å at SACLA (Barends *et al.* 2013). Whilst several peaks were located within the calculated difference maps, it was noted that they were much weaker than expected when compared with conventional synchrotron data, which was hypothesized to be caused by the shot-to-shot variation of FEL beam properties (Barends *et al.* 2013). In this experiment, the strength of the anomalous signal was not sufficient to solve the structure by SAD phasing alone. A structure of lysozyme would later be solved to $2 \cdot 0$ Å using the anomalous signal from 10 cysteine residues and one chlorine (Nakane *et al.* 2015). The data were collected at 7 keV at SACLA and over 150 000 patterns were indexed to compute the final structure. It was noted that when the data were truncated to a similar resolution as that obtained by Barends *et al.*, SAD phasing failed, suggesting that high data redundancy at resolutions better than $2 \cdot 7$ Å may be necessary for phasing at XFELs.

The large number of patterns required for phasing led researchers to combine the phasing power of multiple strategies through single isomorphous replacement with anomalous scattering (SIRAS). Microcrystals of luciferin-regenerating enzyme were solved when derivatized with Hg (Yamashita *et al.* 2015). Data were collected from both the native and derivative crystals at 12.6 keV (near the L_{III} edge of Hg) at SACLA. The structure was solved via SIRAS using just 20 000 indexed patterns, 10 000 from each native and derivative. SAD phasing alone failed with over 80 000 patterns from the same dataset, suggesting this hybrid method to be more effective for SFX phasing than SAD alone (Yamashita *et al.* 2015).

3.5.2 Novel phasing methods at XFEL

XFELs also present the opportunity for new solutions to the phase problem in crystallography. As noted in our section on CDI, when small crystals are illuminated by coherent XFEL pulses, it is possible to measure intensity between the Bragg peaks (Miao & Sayre, 2000; Chapman *et al.* 2011). Provided the dynamic range of the detection apparatus is large enough to record both peaks and weakly scattered signals, this approach can allow direct phasing using oversampling techniques that exploit knowledge of the shape transform embedded in the patterns (Miao & Sayre, 2000; Spence *et al.* 2011). This can be extracted using the gradients of diffraction intensities surrounding the Bragg peaks (Chen *et al.* 2014; Elser, 2013) or by decoupling the unit cell transform from the reciprocal lattice transform (Kirian *et al.* 2015). Lastly, damage induced during or after a pulse could in principle be used to phase, exploiting the contrast between the undamaged crystal and that in which radiation sensitive atoms have been stripped away (Galli *et al.* 2015).

Phasing from diffuse scattering was demonstrated on small crystals with very large unit cells and proved a way to extend the resolution obtained beyond the outer most shell of Bragg reflections (Ayyer *et al.* 2016). When individual structural units of a crystal become displaced in a particular way, it causes an attenuation of the Bragg peaks and gives rise to continuous diffraction, like that used by CDI techniques. This diffraction actually consists of the incoherent sum of all of the diffraction patterns arising from the misaligned macromolecules within the crystal, similar to the 'speckle patterns' observed in CDI (Ayyer *et al.* 2016). This typically occurs at higher resolutions and can lead to loss of resolution in crystallography. This phenomenon was noted in diffraction from crystals of PSII interrogated by SFX. In that experiment, Bragg reflections extended to a resolution of 4·5 Å, while weak 'speckle' signal extended as far as 3·3 Å (Ayyer *et al.* 2016). A low resolution molecular envelope was calculated based on the previously solved 4·5 Å structure. Techniques similar to those used for phase retrieval in CDI were used to extend phases beyond the Bragg reflections and into the region of continuous diffraction. The combination of crystallographic approaches and CDI techniques led to an extension of resolution to 3·5 Å. This demonstration bridges the gap between the single particle CDI technique and crystallography, in principle allowing the determination of structures at resolutions beyond the limit of their Bragg reflections.

4. SAXS and nanodiffraction

SAXS is an important tool in structural biology for macromolecules that fail to crystallize. SAXS reveals the ensemble average structure of proteins in solution (Rambo & Tainer, 2010), and has a rich history as an analytic tool (Guinier, 1938). However, it was not until the introduction of dedicated synchrotron sources that the application of SAXS to biology became widespread (Feigin *et al.* 1987). As samples investigated by SAXS are free floating in solution their models represent the average of all possible conformations without atomic level detail.



A SAXS experiment involves exposing a small volume of mono-disperse protein, at a reasonable concentration, to X-rays and recording the scattered intensity over low angles $(1^{\circ}-5^{\circ})$. The resultant scattering pattern is then radially averaged to produce a 1D curve. The modest requirements on sample preparation, combined with the simplicity of its setup makes SAXS ideal for high-throughput experimentation and automation (Hura *et al.* 2009). SAXS is most sensitive to global features of the macromolecule under investigation, in particular; the radius of gyration (R_g), a measure of the molecules overall size, the maximum intermolecular distance (D_{Max}) and, provided suitable calibration, the molecular weight (Rambo & Tainer, 2013). Advances in *ab initio* algorithms used to analyze SAXS curves have allowed fitting to move beyond simple shape functions to 3D structures. SAXS models can now be used for docking of crystal structures, through dummy atom modeling (Koch *et al.* 2003; Koutsioubas *et al.* 2013; Petoukhov & Svergun, 2005; Svergun *et al.* 2001) in combination with molecular dynamics (Guttman *et al.* 2013). The rapid speed at which SAXS curves can be recorded makes it ideally suited for time-resolved studies based on parameters such as temperature, pH and ligand binding (Grishaev *et al.* 2008; Konuma *et al.* 2011; Mylonas *et al.* 2008). The combination of SAXS and size-exclusion chromatography has allowed the complex oligomerization state of proteins in solution to be investigated (Jensen *et al.* 2010; Wright *et al.* 2011).

4.2 SAXS/WAXS at XFEL

More recently SAXS experiments have been performed at XFELs with promising results. SAXS has been used in conjunction with single-shot CDI to provide insight on the ensemble morphology of soot particles (Loh *et al.* 2012) and RNAi microsponges (Gallagher-Jones *et al.* 2014) (Fig. 4b). A variation on the solution scattering method, named wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) where scattering is recorded over much larger angles, has been used to monitor time-resolved protein dynamics at a much finer scale than SAXS (Cammarata *et al.* 2008; Westenhoff *et al.* 2010). WAXS was combined with high-flux, femtosecond XFEL pulses to capture, for the first time, snapshots of an ultrafast conformational change in a photosynthetic reaction center protein of *B. viridis* (RC_{vir}), termed a 'protein quake' (Arnlund *et al.* 2014). A stream of detergent solubilized RC_{vir} molecules was injected across an XFEL beam using a GDVN. Prior to reaching the XFEL interaction zone, the liquid stream was exposed to an optical laser with varying time delay. The resulting WAXS curves were used in conjunction with MD simulations and a previously resolved crystal structure to trace the flow of heat through the protein and trace the movement of the bacterial co-factors on a ps time scale (Arnlund *et al.* 2014).

4.3 X-ray cross-correlation analysis (XCCA)

XCCA, a novel extension of SAXS first envisioned in the 1970s, has seen a revival with the advent of XFELs. It was initially postulated that if a number of molecules could be frozen in either in time or space, then the recorded diffraction would contain snapshots of the molecule in a finite number of orientations (Kam, 1977). With sufficient coherent illumination, the diffraction patterns contain angular fluctuations in intensity, relating to the different orientations. By averaging the angular correlations of intensity, rather than just the intensity, over multiple diffractions patterns it should be possible to recover the Fourier modulus of an individual particle, which can then be phased (Kirian *et al.* 2011; Saldin *et al.* 2010). Initial experiments proved challenging due to weak flux; however, XCCA has now been demonstrated experimentally at both synchrotrons and XFELs with material samples (Pedrini *et al.* 2013; Starodub *et al.* 2012). This technique benefits from the increased scattering power of many particles, compared to the single-particle case (Kirian & Saldin, 2013).

4.4 SAXS with focused beams: X-ray nanodiffraction

A new technique, termed X-ray nanodiffraction, is related to SAXS and has become possible in recent years thanks to the improved flux at the latest generation of synchrotron light sources. In a nanodiffraction experiment, a finely focused X-ray beam is rastered across the sample. The far-field scattered intensity is measured and the total integrated intensity of each pattern is used to compute a dark-field X-ray image. This is then combined with higher resolution information derived from SAXS analysis of the same scattering pattern (Fratzl *et al.* 1997; Schroer *et al.* 2006; Weinhausen *et al.* 2012; Wilke *et al.* 2012). The combination of real and reciprocal space data greatly enhances the information that can be extracted from either measurement. This technique has now been applied to the study of cytoskeletal networks in fixed mammalian cells (Weinhausen *et al.* 2012) and fully hydrated cells of *Dictyostelium discoideum* and SK8/18-2, both fixed and living, inside of a microfluidic chamber (Priebe *et al.* 2014; Weinhausen *et al.* 2014).

This idea has been pushed even further to obtain multi-dimensional information from macroscopic objects (Liebi *et al.* 2015; Schaff *et al.* 2015). For these experiments an extra rotation axis was incorporated into the experiment in addition to the tomographic axis. With this additional rotation axis, it was possible to reconstruct the 3D reciprocal volume that corresponds to a given voxel, such that for a given scan location 3D information is known in both real and reciprocal space (Schaff *et al.* 2015).

Fitting a model to the 3D scattering volume within a given voxel then gives information about the underlying structure at a higher resolution than the voxel itself. This technique was employed to analyze the distribution and orientation of collagen fibrils within bone and teeth spanning length scales from several millimeters to several tens of nanometers (Liebi *et al.* 2015; Schaff *et al.* 2015).

5. Future directions

The frontier X-ray diffraction methods outlined in this review promise to reveal cellular and molecular structures with high resolution at ultra-fast timescales. Several landmark studies have demonstrated the utility of these methods. Although the breadth of studies is extensive, we attempt to highlight a few examples that offer perspective on the emergence and evolution of the techniques. CDI and SFX have now gone beyond the realms of proof-of-concept experiments and towards an experimental tool for solving real-world problems. This is due to the development of dedicated instruments as well as the stream-lining of reconstructions for the novice user.

Single-particle imaging is under development at a number of XFELs. There are now several focus groups established with the aim of improving single-shot imaging with XFELs to near atomic resolutions and a roadmap has been established (Aquila *et al.* 2015). With the concerted effort of multiple institutions spanning many disciplines, it is hoped that this goal can be achieved in the future. Alongside these efforts, techniques that could enhance the ability to detect signal from weakly scattering objects are also being actively explored (Kim *et al.* 2014; Takayama *et al.* 2015). Labeling strategies may soon help reveal meaningful molecular detail in a cellular context; this is now also becoming possible using hybrid techniques with unlabeled samples (Hémonnot *et al.* 2016). High-throughput strategies now allow rapid characterization of subcellular compartments or macromolecular assemblies, particularly those with high symmetry. With these gains, the holy grail of diffractive imaging, molecular movies, can potentially become a reality.

Ultimately, CDI must meet the needs of user communities. These needs will guide the development of future tools and algorithms as well as the widespread use of existing techniques. Mainstay experiments may include: (i) the routine 3D investigation of frozen-hydrated cells with high spatial resolution; (ii) structural studies of living cells correlated with complementary optical imaging methods; (iii) the exploration of heterogeneous macromolecular mixtures in high-throughput fashion; (iv) 3D investigation of macromolecular assemblies and ultimately single molecules at near atomic resolution; and (v) time-resolved single-particle imaging of macromolecules. New sources and dedicated instruments are needed to make these goals a reality; many are currently under development and will soon come online (Table 1). Crystallography and X-ray diffraction have facilitated some of the greatest biological discoveries of the past century. CDI and its variants are poised to supply new techniques for the next century of X-ray diffraction, with the hope of fueling a new era of biological and chemical discovery.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the DARPA PULSE program through a grant from AMRDEC and the National Science Foundation (DMR-1548924). J.M. thanks the support by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the US Department of Energy (grant no. DE-SC0010378) and the National Science Foundation (grant no. DMR-1437263). J.A.R. acknowledges the support of the A.P. Giannini postdoctoral fellowship.

References

ACKERMANN, W., ASOVA, G., AYVAZYAN, V., *et al.* (2007) Operation of a free-electron laser from the extreme ultraviolet to the water window. *Nature Photonics* 1, 336–342.

ALLARIA, E., APPIO, R., BADANO, L., et al. (2012) Highly coherent and stable pulses from the FERMI seeded free-electron laser in the extreme ultraviolet. Nature Photonics 6, 699–704.

AMANN, J., BERG, W., BLANK, V., et al. (2012) Demonstration of self-seeding in a hard-X-ray free-electron laser. Nature Photonics 6, 693–698.

AQUILA, A., BARTY, A., BOSTEDT, C., *et al.* (2015) The linac coherent light source single particle imaging road map. *Structural Dynamics* 2, 41701.

ARNLUND, D., JOHANSSON, L. C., WICKSTRAND, C., et al. (2014) Visualizing a protein quake with time-resolved X-ray scattering at a free-electron laser. Nature Methods 11, 923–926.

AYYER, K., YEFANOV, O. M., OBERTHÜR, D., et al. (2016) Macromolecular diffractive imaging using imperfect crystals. Nature 530, 202-206.

BAI, X., MCMULLAN, G. & SCHERES, S. H. W. (2015) How cryo-EM is revolutionizing structural biology. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **40**, 49–57.

- BARENDS, T. R. M., FOUCAR, L., BOTHA, S., *et al.* (2014) De novo protein crystal structure determination from X-ray free-electron laser data. *Nature* **505**, 244–247.
- BARENDS, T. R. M., FOUCAR, L., SHOEMAN, R. L., *et al.* (2013) Anomalous signal from S atoms in protein crystallographic data from an X-ray free-electron laser. *Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography* **69**, 838–842.
- BATTAGLIA, M., CONTARATO, D., DENES, P. & GIUBILATO, P. (2009) Cluster imaging with a direct detection CMOS pixel sensor in Transmission Electron Microscopy. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment **608**, 363–365.
- BAXTER, E. L., AGUILA, L., ALONSO-MORI, R., et al. (2016) High-density grids for efficient data collection from multiple crystals. Acta Crystallographica Section D: Structural Biology 72, 2–11.
- BOGAN, M. J. (2013) X-ray Free Electron Lasers Motivate Bioanalytical Characterization of Protein Nanocrystals: Serial Femtosecond Crystallography. Analytical Chemistry 85, 3464–3471.
- BOGAN, M. J., BOUTET, S., BARTY, A., et al. (2010) Single-shot femtosecond x-ray diffraction from randomly oriented ellipsoidal nanoparticles. Physical Review Special Topics - Accelerators and Beams 13, 94701.
- BOUTET, S., LOMB, L., WILLIAMS, G. J., et al. (2012) High-resolution protein structure determination by serial femtosecond crystallography. *Science* 337, 362–364.
- BURDET, N., SHI, X., PARKS, D., et al. (2015) Evaluation of partial coherence correction in X-ray ptychography. Optics Express 23, 5452.
- CALLAWAY, E. (2015) The revolution will not be crystallized: a new method sweeps through structural biology. Nature News 525, 172.
- CAMMARATA, M., LEVANTINO, M., SCHOTTE, F., et al. (2008) Tracking the structural dynamics of proteins in solution using time-resolved wide-angle X-ray scattering. Nature Methods 5, 881–886.
- CHAPMAN, H. N., BARTY, A., BOGAN, M. J., et al. (2006) Femtosecond diffractive imaging with a soft-X-ray free-electron laser. Nature Physics 2, 839–843.
- CHAPMAN, H. N., FROMME, P., BARTY, A., et al. (2011) Femtosecond X-ray protein nanocrystallography. Nature 470, 73-77.
- CHAPMAN, H. N. & NUGENT, K. A. (2010) Coherent lensless X-ray imaging. Nature Photonics 4, 833-839.
- CHEN, C.-C., MIAO, J., WANG, C. W. & LEE, T. K. (2007) Application of optimization technique to noncrystalline x-ray diffraction microscopy: Guided hybrid input-output method. *Physical Review B* **76**, 64113.
- CHEN, J. P. J., SPENCE, J. C. H. & MILLANE, R. P. (2014) Direct phasing in femtosecond nanocrystallography. II. Phase retrieval. Acta Crystallographica Section A Foundations and Advances 70, 154–161.
- COHEN, A. E., SOLTIS, S. M., GONZALEZ, A., et al. (2014) Goniometer-based femtosecond crystallography with X-ray free electron lasers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 17122–17127.
- DENG, J., VINE, D. J., CHEN, S., et al. (2015) Simultaneous cryo X-ray ptychographic and fluorescence microscopy of green algae. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **112**, 2314–2319.
- DIAZ, A., MALKOVA, B., HOLLER, M., et al. (2015) Three-dimensional mass density mapping of cellular ultrastructure by ptychographic X-ray nanotomography. Journal of Structural Biology 192, 461–469.
- DIEROLF, M., MENZEL, A., THIBAULT, P., et al. (2010) Ptychographic X-ray computed tomography at the nanoscale. Nature 467, 436–439.
- EKEBERG, T., SVENDA, M., ABERGEL, C., et al. (2015) Three-dimensional reconstruction of the giant mimivirus particle with an x-ray free-electron laser. *Physical Review Letters* **114**, 98102.
- ELSER, V. (2013) Direct phasing of nanocrystal diffraction. Acta Crystallographica Section A Foundations of Crystallography 69, 559–569.
- EMMA, P., AKRE, R., ARTHUR, J., et al. (2010) First lasing and operation of an ångstrom-wavelength free-electron laser. Nature Photonics 4, 641–647.
- ERIKSSON, M., VAN DER VEEN, J. F. & QUITMANN, C. (2014) Diffraction-limited storage rings a window to the science of tomorrow. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation* 21, 837–842.
- FAHIMIAN, B. P., MAO, Y., CLOETENS, P. & MIAO, J. (2010) Low-dose x-ray phase-contrast and absorption CT using equally sloped tomography. *Physics in Medicine and Biology* **55**, 5383–5400.
- FAULKNER, H. M. L. & RODENBURG, J. M. (2004) Movable Aperture Lensless Transmission Microscopy: A Novel Phase Retrieval Algorithm. *Physical Review Letters* **93**, 23903.
- FEIGIN, L. A., SVERGUN, D. I. & TAYLOR, G. W. (1987) Principles of the Theory of X-Ray and Neutron Scattering. In *Structure Analysis by Small-Angle X-Ray and Neutron Scattering* (ed G. W. TAYLOR), pp. 3–24. Springer US.
- FELD, G. K. & FRANK, M. (2014) Enabling membrane protein structure and dynamics with X-ray free electron lasers. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 27, 69–78.
- FELD, G. K., HEYMANN, M., BENNER, W. H., et al. (2015) Low-Z polymer sample supports for fixed-target serial femtosecond X-ray crystallography. Journal of Applied Crystallography 48, 1072–1079.
- FENALTI, G., ZATSEPIN, N. A., BETTI, C., *et al.* (2015) Structural basis for bifunctional peptide recognition at human δ -opioid receptor. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* **22**, 265–268.
- FIENUP, J. R. (1978) Reconstruction of an object from the modulus of its Fourier transform. Optics Letters 3, 27–29.
- FRATZL, P., JAKOB, H. F., RINNERTHALER, S., ROSCHGER, P. & KLAUSHOFER, K. (1997) Position-Resolved Small-Angle X-ray Scattering of Complex Biological Materials. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* 30, 765–769.
- FUNG, R., SHNEERSON, V., SALDIN, D. K. & OURMAZD, A. (2009) Structure from fleeting illumination of faint spinning objects in flight. *Nature Physics* 5, 64–67.

GALLAGHER-JONES, M., BESSHO, Y., KIM, S., et al. (2014) Macromolecular structures probed by combining single-shot free-electron laser diffraction with synchrotron coherent X-ray imaging. *Nature Communications* 5, 3798.

GALLI, L., SON, S.-K., BARENDS, T. R. M., et al. (2015) Towards phasing using high X-ray intensity. IUCrJ 2, 627-634.

GERCHBERG, R. W. & SAXTON, W. O. (1972) A practical algorithm for the determination of the phase from image and diffraction plane pictures. *Optik* 35, 237–246.

GIEWEKEMEYER, K., HACKENBERG, C., AQUILA, A., *et al.* (2015) Tomography of a Cryo-immobilized Yeast Cell Using Ptychographic Coherent X-Ray Diffractive Imaging. *Biophysical Journal* **109**, 1986–1995.

GIEWEKEMEYER, K., THIBAULT, P., KALBFLEISCH, S., et al. (2010) Quantitative biological imaging by ptychographic x-ray diffraction microscopy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107, 529–534.

- GINN, H. M., BREWSTER, A. S., HATTNE, J., et al. (2015) A revised partiality model and post-refinement algorithm for X-ray free-electron laser data. Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography 71, 1400–1410.
- GRISHAEV, A., YING, J., CANNY, M. D., PARDI, A. & BAX, A. (2008) Solution structure of tRNAVal from refinement of homology model against residual dipolar coupling and SAXS data. *Journal of Biomolecular NMR* 42, 99–109.

GUINIER, A. (1938) Structure of Age-Hardened Aluminium-Copper Alloys. Nature 142, 569-570.

GUIZAR-SICAIROS, M., DIAZ, A., HOLLER, M., *et al.* (2011) Phase tomography from x-ray coherent diffractive imaging projections. *Optics express* **19**, 21345–21357.

GUTTMAN, M., WEINKAM, P., SALI, A. & LEE, K. K. (2013) All-atom ensemble modeling to analyze small-angle x-ray scattering of glycosylated proteins. *Structure (London, England: 1993)* **21**, 321–331.

HANTKE, M. F., HASSE, D., MAIA, F. R. N. C., et al. (2014) High-throughput imaging of heterogeneous cell organelles with an X-ray laser. Nature Photonics 8, 943–949.

HART, P., BOUTET, S., CARINI, G., et al. (2012) The CSPAD megapixel x-ray camera at LCLS. In SPIE p. 85040C-85040C-11. San Diego.

HATSUI, T. & GRAAFSMA, H. (2015) X-ray imaging detectors for synchrotron and XFEL sources. IUCrJ 2, 371-383.

HATTNE, J., ECHOLS, N., TRAN, R., et al. (2014) Accurate macromolecular structures using minimal measurements from X-ray free-electron lasers. *Nature Methods* 11, 545–548.

HEMONNOT, C. Y. J., REINHARDT, J., SALDANHA, O., et al. (2016) X-rays Reveal the Internal Structure of Keratin Bundles in Whole Cells. ACS Nano 10, 3553–3561.

HENDRICKSON, W. A. (1991) Determination of macromolecular structures from anomalous diffraction of synchrotron radiation. *Science* **254**, 51–58.

HENRICH, B., BERGAMASCHI, A., BROENNIMANN, C., et al. (2009) PILATUS: A single photon counting pixel detector for X-ray applications. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 607, 247–249.

HIRATA, K., SHINZAWA-ITOH, K., YANO, N., *et al.* (2014) Determination of damage-free crystal structure of an X-ray-sensitive protein using an XFEL. *Nature Methods* **11**, 734–736.

HOOKE, R. (1667) Micrographia: Or, Some Physiological Descriptions of Minute Bodies Made by Magnifying Glasses. With Observations and Inquiries Thereupon reprint. J. Allestry, printer to the Royal Society, London.

- HOWELLS, M. R., BEETZ, T., CHAPMAN, H. N., et al. (2009) An assessment of the resolution limitation due to radiation-damage in X-ray diffraction microscopy. Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena 170, 4–12.
- HUANG, B., BATES, M. & ZHUANG, X. (2009a) Super resolution fluorescence microscopy. Annual review of biochemistry 78, 993–1016.
- HUANG, X., NELSON, J., KIRZ, J., et al. (2009b) Soft X-ray diffraction microscopy of a frozen hydrated yeast cell. Physical Review Letters 103, 198101.
- HUANG, X., HARDER, R., LEAKE, S., CLARK, J. & ROBINSON, I. (2012) Three-dimensional Bragg coherent diffraction imaging of an extended ZnO crystal. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **45**, 778–784.

HUANG, X., LAUER, K., CLARK, J. N., et al. (2015) Fly-scan ptychography. Scientific Reports 5, 9074.

HUNTER, M. S., SEGELKE, B., MESSERSCHMIDT, M., et al. (2014) Fixed-target protein serial microcrystallography with an x-ray free electron laser. Scientific Reports 4.

HURA, G. L., MENON, A. L., HAMMEL, M., et al. (2009) Robust, high-throughput solution structural analyses by small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). Nature Methods 6, 606–612.

ISHIKAWA, T., AOYAGI, H., ASAKA, T., *et al.* (2012) A compact X-ray free-electron laser emitting in the sub-angstrom region. *Nature Photonics* **6**, 540–544.

JENSEN, M. H., TOFT, K. N., DAVID, G., et al. (2010) Time-resolved SAXS measurements facilitated by online HPLC buffer exchange. Journal of Synchrotron Radiation 17, 769–773.

JIANG, H., RAMUNNO-JOHNSON, D., SONG, C., et al. (2008) Nanoscale imaging of mineral crystals inside biological composite materials using X-ray diffraction microscopy. *Physical Review Letters* **100**, 38103.

JIANG, H., SONG, C., CHEN, C.-C., et al. (2010) Quantitative 3D imaging of whole, unstained cells by using X-ray diffraction microscopy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107, 11234–11239.

JOHANSSON, L. C., ARNLUND, D., KATONA, G., et al. (2013) Structure of a photosynthetic reaction centre determined by serial femtosecond crystallography. Nature Communications 4, 2911.

JONES, M. W. M., DEARNLEY, M. K., VAN RIESSEN, G. A., et al. (2014) Rapid, low dose X-ray diffractive imaging of the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Ultramicroscopy 143, 88–92.

- JONES, M. W. M., ELGASS, K. D., JUNKER, M. D., DE JONGE, M. D. & VAN RIESSEN, G. A. (2016) Molar concentration from sequential 2-D waterwindow X-ray ptychography and X-ray fluorescence in hydrated cells. *Scientific Reports* 6, 24280.
- DE JONGE, M. D. de, PATTERSON, D. J. & RYAN, C. G. (eds) (2014) XRM 2014: Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on X-ray Microscopy. AIP Conference Proceedings 1696.

KAM, Z. (1977) Determination of Macromolecular Structure in Solution by Spatial Correlation of Scattering Fluctuations. *Macromolecules* 10, 927–934.

KAMESHIMA, T., ONO, S., KUDO, T., et al. (2014) Development of an X-ray pixel detector with multi-port charge-coupled device for X-ray freeelectron laser experiments. *Review of Scientific Instruments* **85**, 33110.

KANG, Y., ZHOU, X. E., GAO, X., et al. (2015) Crystal structure of rhodopsin bound to arrestin by femtosecond X-ray laser. Nature 523, 561–567.

KERN, J., ALONSO-MORI, R., HELLMICH, J., et al. (2012) Room temperature femtosecond X-ray diffraction of photosystem II microcrystals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109, 9721–9726.

KERN, J., ALONSO-MORI, R., TRAN, R., et al. (2013) Simultaneous Femtosecond X-ray Spectroscopy and Diffraction of Photosystem II at Room Temperature. Science 340, 491–495.

KERN, J., TRAN, R., ALONSO-MORI, R., et al. (2014) Taking snapshots of photosynthetic water oxidation using femtosecond X-ray diffraction and spectroscopy. Nature Communications 5.

KIM, C., KIM, Y., SONG, C., *et al.* (2014) Resolution enhancement in coherent x-ray diffraction imaging by overcoming instrumental noise. *Optics Express* **22**, 29161.

KIMURA, T., JOTI, Y., SHIBUYA, A., et al. (2014) Imaging live cell in micro-liquid enclosure by X-ray laser diffraction. Nature Communications 5, 3052.

KIRIAN, R. A., BEAN, R. J., BEYERLEIN, K. R., *et al.* (2015) Direct Phasing of Finite Crystals Illuminated with a Free-Electron Laser. *Physical Review* X 5, 11015.

- KIRIAN, R. A. & CHAPMAN, H. N. (2015) Imaging of Objects by Coherent Diffraction of X-Ray FEL Pulses. In Synchrotron Light Sources and Free-Electron Lasers (eds E. JAESCHKE, S. KHAN, J. R. SCHNEIDER & J. B. HASTINGS), pp. 1–55. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- KIRIAN, R. A. & SALDIN, D. K. (2013) Structure Determination from Disordered Ensembles of Identical Particles. *Synchrotron Radiation News* **26**, 20–25.

KIRIAN, R. A., SCHMIDT, K. E., WANG, X., DOAK, R. B. & SPENCE, J. C. H. (2011) Signal, noise, and resolution in correlated fluctuations from snapshot small-angle x-ray scattering. *Physical Review E* 84.

KIRIAN, R. A., WANG, X., WEIERSTALL, U., *et al.* (2010) Femtosecond protein nanocrystallography—data analysis methods. *Optics Express* 18, 5713–5723.

KOCH, M. H., VACHETTE, P. & SVERGUN, D. I. (2003) Small-angle scattering: a view on the properties, structures and structural changes of biological macromolecules in solution. *Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics* 36, 147–227.

- KONUMA, T., KIMURA, T., MATSUMOTO, S., *et al.* (2011) Time-resolved small-angle X-ray scattering study of the folding dynamics of barnase. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **405**, 1284–1294.
- KOOPMANN, R., CUPELLI, K., REDECKE, L., et al. (2012) In vivo protein crystallization opens new routes in structural biology. Nature Methods 9, 259–262.
- Koutsioubas, A., Berthaud, A., MANGENOT, S. & PEREZ, J. (2013) Ab initio and all-atom modeling of detergent organization around Aquaporin-0 based on SAXS data. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B* 117, 13588–13594.

KUPITZ, C., BASU, S., GROTJOHANN, I., et al. (2014) Serial time-resolved crystallography of photosystem II using a femtosecond X-ray laser. *Nature* **513**, 261–265.

LEE, E., FAHIMIAN, B. P., IANCU, C. V., et al. (2008) Radiation dose reduction and image enhancement in biological imaging through equally-sloped tomography. Journal of Structural Biology 164, 221–227.

LIEBI, M., GEORGIADIS, M., MENZEL, A., et al. (2015) Nanostructure surveys of macroscopic specimens by small-angle scattering tensor tomography. Nature 527, 349–352.

LIMA, E., DIAZ, A., GUIZAR-SICAIROS, M., et al. (2013) Cryo-scanning x-ray diffraction microscopy of frozen-hydrated yeast. Journal of Microscopy 249, 1–7.

LIU, H. & SPENCE, J. C. H. (2014) The indexing ambiguity in serial femtosecond crystallography (SFX) resolved using an expectation maximization algorithm. *IUCrJ* 1, 393–401.

LIU, W., WACKER, D., GATI, C., et al. (2013) Serial Femtosecond Crystallography of G Protein-Coupled Receptors. Science 342, 1521–1524.

LOH, N. D., BOGAN, M. J., ELSER, V., et al. (2010) Cryptotomography: Reconstructing 3D Fourier Intensities from Randomly Oriented Single-Shot Diffraction Patterns. *Physical Review Letters* **104**, 225501.

LOH, N. D., HAMPTON, C. Y., MARTIN, A. V., et al. (2012) Fractal morphology, imaging and mass spectrometry of single aerosol particles in flight. Nature 486, 513–517.

LOH, N.-T. D. & ELSER, V. (2009) Reconstruction algorithm for single-particle diffraction imaging experiments. Physical Review E 80, 026705.

LUDTKE, S. J., BALDWIN, P. R. & CHIU, W. (1999) EMAN: Semiautomated Software for High-Resolution Single-Particle Reconstructions. *Journal of Structural Biology* **128**, 82–97.

LUKE, D. R. (2005) Relaxed averaged alternating reflections for diffraction imaging. Inverse Problems 21, 37.

LIMA, E., WIEGART, L., PERNOT, P., et al. (2009) Cryogenic X-Ray Diffraction Microscopy for Biological Samples. Physical Review Letters 103, 198102.

- LYUBIMOV, A. Y., MURRAY, T. D., KOEHL, A., et al. (2015) Capture and X-ray diffraction studies of protein microcrystals in a microfluidic trap array. Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography 71, 928–940.
- MADEY, J. M. J. (1971) Stimulated Emission of Bremsstrahlung in a Periodic Magnetic Field. Journal of Applied Physics 42, 1906–1913.

MAIDEN, A. M., MORRISON, G. R., KAULICH, B., GIANONCELLI, A. & RODENBURG, J. M. (2013) Soft X-ray spectromicroscopy using ptychography with randomly phased illumination. *Nature Communications* **4**, 1669.

- MAIDEN, A. M. & RODENBURG, J. M. (2009) An improved ptychographical phase retrieval algorithm for diffractive imaging. *Ultramicroscopy* **109**, 1256–1262.
- MANCUSO, A. P., SCHROPP, A., REIME, B., et al. (2009) Coherent-Pulse 2D Crystallography Using a Free-Electron Laser X-Ray Source. *Physical Review Letters* 102.
- MANCUSO, A. P., YEFANOV, O. M. & VARTANYANTS, I. A. (2010) Coherent diffractive imaging of biological samples at synchrotron and free electron laser facilities. *Journal of Biotechnology* **149**, 229–237.
- MARCHESINI, S., HE, H., CHAPMAN, H. N., et al. (2003) X-ray image reconstruction from a diffraction pattern alone. Physical Review B 68, 140101.
- MARCHESINI, S., KRISHNAN, H., DAURER, B. J., et al. (2016) SHARP: a distributed GPU-based ptychographic solver. Journal of Applied Crystallography 49, 1245–1252.
- MARTIN-GARCIA, J. M., CONRAD, C. E., COE, J., ROY-CHOWDHURY, S. & FROMME, P. (2016) Serial femtosecond crystallography: A revolution in structural biology. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 602, 32–47.
- MIAO, J., CHAPMAN, H. N., KIRZ, J., SAYRE, D. & HODGSON, K. O. (2004) Taking X-ray diffraction to the limit: macromolecular structures from femtosecond X-ray pulses and diffraction microscopy of cells with synchrotron radiation. *Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular* Structure 33, 157–176.
- MIAO, J., CHARALAMBOUS, P., KIRZ, J. & SAYRE, D. (1999) Extending the methodology of X-ray crystallography to allow imaging of micrometresized non-crystalline specimens. *Nature* **400**, 342–344.
- MIAO, J., ERCIUS, P. & BILLINGE, S. J. L. (2016) Atomic electron tomography: 3D structures without crystals. Science 353, aaf2157 (2016).

MIAO, J., FÖRSTER, F. & LEVI, O. (2005) Equally sloped tomography with oversampling reconstruction. Physical Review B 72, 52103.

- MIAO, J., HODGSON, K.O., ISHIKAWA, T., et al. (2003) Imaging whole Escherichia coli bacteria by using single-particle x-ray diffraction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 100, 110–112.
- MIAO, J., ISHIKAWA, T., JOHNSON, B., et al. (2002) High Resolution 3D X-Ray Diffraction Microscopy. Physical Review Letters 89, 88303.
- MIAO, J., ISHIKAWA, T., ROBINSON, I.K. & MURNANE, M. M. (2015) Beyond crystallography: Diffractive imaging using coherent x-ray light sources. *Science* 348, 530–535.
- MIAO, J., ISHIKAWA, T., SHEN, Q. & EARNEST, T. (2008) Extending X-Ray Crystallography to Allow the Imaging of Noncrystalline Materials, Cells, and Single Protein Complexes. *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry* **59**, 387–410.
- MIAO, J., KIRZ, J. & SAYRE, D. (2000) The oversampling phasing method. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography 56, 1312–1315.
- MIAO, J. & SAYRE, D. (2000) On possible extensions of X-ray crystallography through diffraction-pattern oversampling. Acta Crystallographica. Section A, Foundations of Crystallography 56, 596–605.
- MIAO, J., SAYRE, D. & CHAPMAN, H. N. (1998) Phase retrieval from the magnitude of the Fourier transforms of nonperiodic objects. *Journal of the Optical Society of America A* 15, 1662.
- MORAES, I., EVANS, G., SANCHEZ-WEATHERBY, J., NEWSTEAD, S. & STEWART, P. D. S. (2014) Membrane protein structure determination The next generation. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* (BBA) *Biomembranes* 1838, 78–87.
- MURNANE, M. M. & MIAO, J. (2009) Optics: Ultrafast X-ray photography. Nature 460, 1088-1090.
- MUTZAFI, M., SHECHTMAN, Y., ELDAR, Y. C., COHEN, O. & SEGEV, M. (2015) Sparsity-based Ankylography for Recovering 3D molecular structures from single-shot 2D scattered light intensity. *Nature Communications* 6, 7950.
- MyLONAS, E., HASCHER, A., BERNADÓ, P., et al. (2008) Domain Conformation of Tau Protein Studied by Solution Small-Angle X-ray Scattering. Biochemistry 47, 10345–10353.
- NAKANE, T., SONG, C., SUZUKI, M., et al. (2015) Native sulfur/chlorine SAD phasing for serial femtosecond crystallography. Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography 71, 2519–2525.
- NAM, D., PARK, J., GALLAGHER-JONES, M., et al. (2013) Imaging fully hydrated whole cells by coherent x-ray diffraction microscopy. *Physical Review Letters* 110, 98103.

NASHED, Y. S. G., VINE, D. J., PETERKA, T., et al. (2014) Parallel ptychographic reconstruction. Optics Express 22, 32082.

NELSON, J., HUANG, X., STEINBRENER, J., et al. (2010) High-resolution x-ray diffraction microscopy of specifically labeled yeast cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107, 7235–7239.

- NEUTZE, R., BRÄNDÉN, G. & SCHERTLER, G. F. (2015) Membrane protein structural biology using X-ray free electron lasers. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 33, 115–125.
- NEUTZE, R., WOUTS, R., VAN DER SPOEL, D., WECKERT, E. & HAJDU, J. (2000) Potential for biomolecular imaging with femtosecond X-ray pulses. *Nature* **406**, 752–757.
- NISHINO, Y., TAKAHASHI, Y., IMAMOTO, N., ISHIKAWA, T. & MAESHIMA, K. (2009) Three-dimensional visualization of a human chromosome using coherent X-ray diffraction. *Physical Review Letters* **102**, 18101.

OSTEN, P. & MARGRIE, T. W. (2013) Mapping brain circuitry with a light microscope. Nature Methods 10, 515-523.

- PEDRINI, B., MENZEL, A., GUIZAR-SICAIROS, M., et al. (2013) Two-dimensional structure from random multiparticle X-ray scattering images using cross-correlations. Nature Communications 4, 1647.
- PERUTZ, M. F. (1956) Isomorphous replacement and phase determination in non-centrosymmetric space groups. Acta Crystallographica 9, 867–873.
- PETOUKHOV, M. V. & SVERGUN, D. I. (2005) Global Rigid Body Modeling of Macromolecular Complexes against Small-Angle Scattering Data. Biophysical Journal 89, 1237–1250.
- PFEIFER, M. A., WILLIAMS, G. J., VARTANYANTS, I. A., HARDER, R. & ROBINSON, I. K. (2006) Three-dimensional mapping of a deformation field inside a nanocrystal. *Nature* 442, 63–66.
- PONCHUT, C., RIGAL, J. M., CLEMENT, J., et al. (2011) MAXIPIX, a fast readout photon-counting X-ray area detector for synchrotron applications. Journal of Instrumentation 6, C01069.
- POPMINTCHEV, T., CHEN, M.-C., POPMINTCHEV, D., et al. (2012) Bright Coherent Ultrahigh Harmonics in the keV X-ray Regime from Mid-Infrared Femtosecond Lasers. Science 336, 1287–1291.
- PRIEBE, M., BERNHARDT, M., BLUM, C., et al. (2014) Scanning X-Ray Nanodiffraction on Dictyostelium discoideum. Biophysical Journal 107, 2662–2673.
- RAINES, K. S., SALHA, S., SANDBERG, R. L., et al. (2010) Three-dimensional structure determination from a single view. Nature 463, 214–217.
- RAMBO, R. P. & TAINER, J. A. (2010) Bridging the solution divide: comprehensive structural analyses of dynamic RNA, DNA, and protein assemblies by small-angle X-ray scattering. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **20**, 128–137.
- RAMBO, R. P. & TAINER, J. A. (2013) Accurate assessment of mass, models and resolution by small-angle scattering. Nature 496, 477-481.
- RAVASIO, A., GAUTHIER, D., MAIA, F. R. N. C., et al. (2009) Single-shot diffractive imaging with a table-top femtosecond soft x-ray laserharmonics source. *Physical Review Letters* 103, 28104.
- REDECKE, L., NASS, K., DEPONTE, D. P., et al. (2013) Natively inhibited Trypanosoma brucei cathepsin B structure determined by using an X-ray laser. Science 339, 227–230.
- ROBINSON, I. K., VARTANYANTS, I. A., WILLIAMS, G. J., PFEIFER, M. A. & PITNEY, J. A. (2001) Reconstruction of the Shapes of Gold Nanocrystals Using Coherent X-Ray Diffraction. *Physical Review Letters* 87, 195505.
- RODENBURG, J. M., HURST, A. C., CULLIS, A. G., et al. (2007) Hard-X-Ray Lensless Imaging of Extended Objects. Physical Review Letters 98, 34801.
- RODRIGUEZ, J. A., XU, R., CHEN, C.-C., *et al.* (2015) Three-dimensional coherent X-ray diffractive imaging of whole frozen-hydrated cells. *IUCrJ* 2, 575–583.
- RODRIGUEZ, J. A., XU, R., CHEN, C.-C., ZOU, Y. & MIAO, J. (2013) Oversampling smoothness: an effective algorithm for phase retrieval of noisy diffraction intensities. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **46**, 312–318.
- ROESSLER, C. G., KUCZEWSKI, A., STEARNS, R., et al. (2013) Acoustic methods for high-throughput protein crystal mounting at next-generation macromolecular crystallographic beamlines. Journal of Synchrotron Radiation 20, 805–808.
- Rossmann, M. G. & BLOW, D. M. (1962) The detection of sub-units within the crystallographic asymmetric unit. *Acta Crystallographica* **15**, 24–31.
- SALDIN, D. K., POON, H. C., SHNEERSON, V. L., *et al.* (2010) Beyond small-angle x-ray scattering: Exploiting angular correlations. *Physical Review B* **81**, 174105.
- SANDBERG, R. L., PAUL, A., RAYMONDSON, D., *et al.* (2007) Lensless diffractive imaging using tabletop, coherent, high harmonic soft X-ray beams. *Physical Review Letters* **99**, 098103.
- SANDBERG, R. L., SONG, C., WACHULAK, P. W., et al. (2008) High numerical aperture tabletop soft x-ray diffraction microscopy with 70-nm resolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105, 24–27.
- SANTI, P. A. (2011) Light Sheet Fluorescence Microscopy A Review. Journal of Histochemistry & Cytochemistry 59, 129–138.
- SAWAYA, M. R., CASCIO, D., GINGERY, M., et al. (2014) Protein crystal structure obtained at 2.9 A resolution from injecting bacterial cells into an X-ray free-electron laser beam. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 12769–12774.
- SAYRE, D. (1952) Some implications of a theorem due to Shannon. Acta Crystallographica 5, 843-843.
- SAYRE, D. (1980) Prospects for long-wavelength X-ray microscopy and diffraction. In *Imaging Processes and Coherence in Physics* (eds M. SCHLENKER, M. FINK, J. P. GOEDGEBUER, et al.), pp. 229–235. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- SCHAFF, F., BECH, M., ZASLANSKY, P., *et al.* (2015) Six-dimensional real and reciprocal space small-angle X-ray scattering tomography. *Nature* **527**, 353–356.
- SCHLICHTING, I. (2015) Serial femtosecond crystallography: the first five years. IUCrJ 2, 246-255.
- SCHLICHTING, I. & MIAO, J. (2012) Emerging opportunities in structural biology with X-ray free-electron lasers. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 22, 613–626.
- VAN DER SCHOT, G., SVENDA, M., MAIA, F. R. N. C., *et al.* (2015) Imaging single cells in a beam of live cyanobacteria with an X-ray laser. *Nature Communications* **6**, 5704.
- SCHROER, C. G., KUHLMANN, M., ROTH, S. V., et al. (2006) Mapping the local nanostructure inside a specimen by tomographic small-angle x-ray scattering. Applied Physics Letters 88, 164102.
- SEABERG, M. D., ADAMS, D. E., TOWNSEND, E. L., et al. (2011) Ultrahigh 22 nm resolution coherent diffractive imaging using a desktop 13 nm high harmonic source. Optics Express 19, 22470–22479.
- SEIBERT, M. M., EKEBERG, T., MAIA, F. R. N. C., et al. (2011) Single mimivirus particles intercepted and imaged with an X-ray laser. Nature 470, 78–81.

- SHAPIRO, D., THIBAULT, P., BEETZ, T., et al. (2005) Biological imaging by soft x-ray diffraction microscopy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102, 15343–15346.
- SHAPIRO, D. A., YU, Y.-S., TYLISZCZAK, T., et al. (2014) Chemical composition mapping with nanometre resolution by soft X-ray microscopy. *Nature Photonics* **8**, 765–769.
- SHECHTMAN, Y., ELDAR, Y. C., COHEN, O., et al. (2015) Phase Retrieval with Application to Optical Imaging: A contemporary overview. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine 32, 87–109.
- SHEN, Q., BAZAROV, I. & THIBAULT, P. (2004) Diffractive imaging of nonperiodic materials with future coherent X-ray sources. Journal of Synchrotron Radiation 11, 432–438.
- SHERRELL, D. A., FOSTER, A. J., HUDSON, L., et al. (2015) A modular and compact portable mini-endstation for high-precision, high-speed fixed target serial crystallography at FEL and synchrotron sources. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation* 22, 1372–1378.
- SIERRA, R. G., LAKSMONO, H., KERN, J., et al. (2012) Nanoflow electrospinning serial femtosecond crystallography. Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography 68, 1584–1587.
- SOLEM, J. C. (1986) Imaging biological specimens with high-intensity soft x rays. JOSA B 3, 1551–1565.
- Song, C., JIANG, H., MANCUSO, A., *et al.* (2008) Quantitative imaging of single, unstained viruses with coherent x rays. *Physical Review Letters* **101**, 158101.
- SONG, C., TAKAGI, M., PARK, J., et al. (2014) Analytic 3D imaging of mammalian nucleus at nanoscale using coherent x-rays and optical fluorescence microscopy. *Biophysical Journal* **107**, 1074–1081.
- SPENCE, J. C. H., KIRIAN, R. A., WANG, X., et al. (2011) Phasing of coherent femtosecond X-ray diffraction from size-varying nanocrystals. Optics Express 19, 2866–2873.
- SPENCE, J. C. H., WEIERSTALL, U. & CHAPMAN, H. N. (2012) X-ray lasers for structural and dynamic biology. *Reports on Progress in Physics* 75, 102601.
- STARODUB, D., AQUILA, A., BAJT, S., et al. (2012) Single-particle structure determination by correlations of snapshot X-ray diffraction patterns. *Nature Communications* **3**, 1276.
- STRÜDER, L., EPP, S., ROLLES, D., et al. (2010) Large-format, high-speed, X-ray pnCCDs combined with electron and ion imaging spectrometers in a multipurpose chamber for experiments at 4th generation light sources. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 614, 483–496.
- SUGAHARA, M., MIZOHATA, E., NANGO, E., *et al.* (2014) Grease matrix as a versatile carrier of proteins for serial crystallography. *Nature Methods* **12**, 61–63.
- SVERGUN, D. I., PETOUKHOV, M. V. & KOCH, M. H. (2001) Determination of domain structure of proteins from X-ray solution scattering. *Biophysical journal* 80, 2946–2953.
- TAKAHASHI, Y., SUZUKI, A., FURUTAKU, S., *et al.* (2013) Bragg x-ray ptychography of a silicon crystal: Visualization of the dislocation strain field and the production of a vortex beam. *Physical Review B* **87**, 121201.
- TAKAYAMA, Y., MAKI-YONEKURA, S., OROGUCHI, T., NAKASAKO, M. & YONEKURA, K. (2015) Signal enhancement and Patterson-search phasing for high-spatial-resolution coherent X-ray diffraction imaging of biological objects. *Scientific Reports* 5, 8074.
- THIBAULT, P., DIEROLF, M., BUNK, O., MENZEL, A. & PFEIFFER, F. (2009) Probe retrieval in ptychographic coherent diffractive imaging. *Ultramicroscopy* **109**, 338–343.
- THIBAULT, P., DIEROLF, M., MENZEL, A., *et al.* (2008) High-resolution scanning x-ray diffraction microscopy. Science (*New York*, *N.Y.*) **321**, 379–382.
- THIBAULT, P. & GUIZAR-SICAIROS, M. (2012) Maximum-likelihood refinement for coherent diffractive imaging. New Journal of Physics 14, 63004.
- THIBAULT, P. & MENZEL, A. (2013) Reconstructing state mixtures from diffraction measurements. Nature 494, 68-71.
- UERVIROJNANGKOORN, M., ZELDIN, O. B., LYUBIMOV, A. Y., et al. (2015) Enabling X-ray free electron laser crystallography for challenging biological systems from a limited number of crystals. eLife 4.
- USÓN, I. & SHELDRICK, G. M. (1999) Advances in direct methods for protein crystallography. Current Opinion in Structural Biology 9, 643-648.
- WATANABE, S., PUNGE, A., HOLLOPETER, G., et al. (2011) Protein localization in electron micrographs using fluorescence nanoscopy. Nature Methods 8, 80–84.
- WEIERSTALL, U., JAMES, D., WANG, C., *et al.* (2014) Lipidic cubic phase injector facilitates membrane protein serial femtosecond crystallography. *Nature Communications* **5**.
- WEIERSTALL, U., SPENCE, J. C. H. & DOAK, R. B. (2012) Injector for scattering measurements on fully solvated biospecies. *Review of Scientific Instruments* 83, 35108.
- WEINHAUSEN, B., NOLTING, J.-F., OLENDROWITZ, C., et al. (2012) X-ray nano-diffraction on cytoskeletal networks. New Journal of Physics 14, 85013.
- WEINHAUSEN, B., SALDANHA, O., WILKE, R. N., et al. (2014) Scanning X-Ray Nanodiffraction on Living Eukaryotic Cells in Microfluidic Environments. *Physical Review Letters* **112**, 88102.
- WESTENHOFF, S., MALMERBERG, E., ARNLUND, D., et al. (2010) Rapid readout detector captures protein time-resolved WAXS. Nature Methods 7, 775–776.
- WHITE, T. A., KIRIAN, R. A., MARTIN, A. V., et al. (2012) CrystFEL: a software suite for snapshot serial crystallography. Journal of Applied Crystallography 45, 335–341.
- WILKE, R. N., PRIEBE, M., BARTELS, M., *et al.* (2012) Hard X-ray imaging of bacterial cells: nano-diffraction and ptychographic reconstruction. *Optics Express* **20**, 19232–19254.

- WRIGHT, G. S. A., HASNAIN, S. S. & GROSSMANN, J. G. (2011) The structural plasticity of the human copper chaperone for SOD1: insights from combined size-exclusion chromatographic and solution X-ray scattering studies. *Biochemical Journal* **439**, 39–44.
- XU, R., JIANG, H., SONG, C., et al. (2014) Single-shot three-dimensional structure determination of nanocrystals with femtosecond X-ray freeelectron laser pulses. *Nature Communications* 5, 4061.
- XU, R., SALHA, S., RAINES, K. S., et al. (2011) Coherent diffraction microscopy at SPring-8: instrumentation, data acquisition and data analysis. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation* 18, 293–298.
- YAMASHITA, K., PAN, D., OKUDA, T., et al. (2015) An isomorphous replacement method for efficient de novo phasing for serial femtosecond crystallography. Scientific Reports 5, 14017.
- YU, C.-J., LEE, H. C., KIM, C., et al. (2014) Coherent X-ray scattering beamline at port 9C of Pohang Light Source II. Journal of Synchrotron Radiation 21, 264–267.
- ZANETTE, I., ENDERS, B., DIEROLF, M., et al. (2015) Ptychographic X-ray nanotomography quantifies mineral distributions in human dentine. Scientific Reports 5, 9210.
- ZHANG, H., UNAL, H., GATI, C., et al. (2015) Structure of the Angiotensin Receptor Revealed by Serial Femtosecond Crystallography. Cell 161, 833–844.