

Quantitative Assessment of Collagen Remodeling during a Murine Pregnancy

Jessica C. [Ramella-Roman,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jessica+C.+Ramella-Roman"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-6-0) Mala [Mahendroo,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Mala+Mahendroo"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Clothilde](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Clothilde+Raoux"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Raoux, Gaël [Latour,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Gae%CC%88l+Latour"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and Marie-Claire [Schanne-Klein](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Marie-Claire+Schanne-Klein"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-6-0)

their disruption at the later stages. Furthermore, we utilize a straightforward statistical analysis to demonstrate the loss of order in the tissue, consistent with the loss of mechanical properties associated with this process. This work provides a deeper understanding of the parturition process and could support research into the cause of pathological or premature birth.

KEYWORDS: *second harmonic generation microscopy, polarimetry, multiphoton imaging, collagen, uterine cervix*

■ **INTRODUCTION**

Preterm birth (PTB) is the leading cause of infant mortality worldwide. PTB also leads to lifelong morbidities and accounts for an estimated 69 million disability-adjusted life years annually worldwide (Global Disease Burden Study, 2019). In the United States, 1 in 10 babies is born premature, $1-\frac{3}{2}$ $1-\frac{3}{2}$ $1-\frac{3}{2}$ and inequalities in the access and quality of prenatal care^{[4](#page-6-0)} and other social determinants of health^{[5](#page-6-0),[6](#page-6-0)} contribute to some of the highest levels of PTB in underserved groups.^{[7](#page-6-0)} Cervical remodeling, the process by which the cervix transforms from a closed rigid structure to a compliant structure that can allow safe passage of the fetus, is an essential feature of normal parturition. In spontaneous preterm birth (sPTB), which accounts for around [8](#page-6-0)0% of $\angle PTBs$,^{8-[12](#page-6-0)} the remodeling process is accelerated, $13,14$ and approximately 25% of pregnancy losses that occur during the fourth to sixth month of pregnancy happen because of a prematurely weakened cervix. Early identification of pathological or accelerated cervical remodeling would allow clinicians to improve the design of drug trials for prevention and start a course of treatment or monitoring that could significantly improve the baby's health outcomes.

collagen fibers around the os at the early stage of pregnancy and

In both women and animal models, the phases of cervical remodeling during pregnancy can be described as cervical softening, ripening, and dilation. These phases are associated with changes in the cervix's mechanical properties, which relate strongly to changes in the cervical collagen and fibrous tissue directionality, dispersion, cross-link density, and turnover, as shown by Myers and others.^{[8](#page-6-0)−[12,15](#page-6-0)−[17](#page-6-0)} The cervix comprises a rich extracellular matrix within the stroma, which lies under an epithelium, and collagen fibers are a major constituent. $^{18-21}$ $^{18-21}$ $^{18-21}$ $^{18-21}$ $^{18-21}$ In early pregnancy, collagen remains in an organized fibrous structure. As gestational age advances, the cervix becomes softer $12,22$ $12,22$ due to the structural reorganization of collagen in the stroma.^{11,[23,24](#page-7-0)}

Numerous researchers have studied cervical collagen^{[17,](#page-6-0)[25](#page-7-0)−[31](#page-7-0)} and its anisotropic alignment surrounding the cervical canal 9,10,16,32 9,10,16,32 9,10,16,32 9,10,16,32 9,10,16,32 9,10,16,32 9,10,16,32 9,10,16,32 through a variety of techniques, including magnetic tensor imaging,^{[33](#page-7-0)} quantitative ultrasound,^{[34](#page-7-0)} optical coherence tomography,[35](#page-7-0) and Mueller matrix polarimetry[.36](#page-7-0)[−][38](#page-7-0) Raman spectroscopy showed an apparent decrease in collagen type I peaks over the normal pregnancy period.³⁹ Other studies have utilized second harmonic generation (SHG) microscopy to observe cervical remodeling in mice^{40−[42](#page-7-0)} as SHG has

Received: February 23, 2024 Revised: July 23, 2024 Accepted: July 29, 2024

Figure 1. Full process of cervical remodeling characterization. (a) Timeline of murine pregnancy and stages under study. Delivery is on gestation day 19. (b) Schematic of the uterus and cervix indicates tissue sectioning perpendicular to the cervical canal. (c) Laser-scanning multiphoton microscopy with parallel trans- and epi-detection of 2PEF and SHG signals. Polarization is controlled by a quarter- and a half-waveplate. The sample is on a motorized stage to scan large regions. (d) Imagery and image processing workflow: step 1: polarimetric image stacks are processed automatically to provide $I_{\rm 2PEF}$ and $I_{\rm 3HG}$ images as well as R^2 -filtered in-plane orientation φ maps; step 2: all the tiles are stitched together starting from the *I_{SHG}* image (see the superimposed grid in the SHG image); step 3: order metrics are computed in small regions of interest (see yellow lines in the orientation map) all over the stitched orientation image and the distribution of the order metrics around the os (within the yellow elliptical mask) are analyzed for every mouse.

unmatched specificity to fibrillar collagen and provides a direct visualization without any labeling.^{[43](#page-7-0)–[45](#page-7-0)} Mahendroo et al. have shown that collagen bundles lose their characteristic elongated appearance during the softening phase in favor of a more kinked one; they also become thicker with the progression of gestation.^{[11](#page-6-0)} Nevertheless, no accurate quantification of collagen remodeling during pregnancy has been reported due to limitations in spatial resolution, specificity to collagen, or sensitivity to orientation of all of these techniques.

This study uses polarization-resolved SHG (p-SHG) microscopy to map and assess collagen remodeling during pregnancy. P-SHG consists of recording SHG images for a series of different linear polarizations of the laser excitation. This technique's unique feature is the ability to directly quantify the orientation of collagen fibers' primary axis at the pixel level $(420 \text{ nm})^{46-51}$ $(420 \text{ nm})^{46-51}$ $(420 \text{ nm})^{46-51}$ without the use of interpretative or contrast-based algorithms commonly used in SHG imaging.[52,53](#page-7-0) As such, p-SHG is used to observe collagen remodeling during pregnancy with unmatched spatial resolution. This modality is combined with two-photon-excited fluorescence microscopy (2PEF) from endogenous cellular chromophores in unstained sections, yielding mapping of the cervix morphology. From p-SHG data, we have created collagen orientation maps of the entire murine cervix and have used metrics of order, such as circular variance, entropy, and kurtosis, to observe the changes in the extracellular matrix architecture at four time points in the 19 day mouse gestation and in nonpregnant (NP) mice. This new study provides evidence of a significant disorganization of collagen between the early and late stages of pregnancy in our murine model.

■ **RESULTS**

Multiphoton images of unstained cervical sections of NP mice and mice at gestation days 6 (D6), 12 (D12), 15 (D15), and 18 (D18) are recorded and processed as shown in Figure 1. A combined 2PEF/SHG image acquired with submicrometer resolution over the total size of a D6 murine cervix is shown in [Figure](#page-2-0) 2a. In this representative D6 sample, the internal cervical os is visible around a central region with no SHG or 2PEF signal. The strong 2PEF signal (red color) is due to endogenous cellular chromophores (NAD(P)H, FAD, etc.)^{[54](#page-7-0)} that reveal the epithelial cells delineating the cervical os. The SHG signal (green color) shows the collagen fibers around the os. A stronger SHG signal is observed near the epithelial cells around the os, which reveals a high density of well-aligned collagen fibers. The collagen fibers away from the os exhibit a circumferential organization. An accurate orientation of the fibers cannot be visualized clearly because of the high density of the collagen network. This limitation is overcome when using the pSHG modality, as shown in [Figure](#page-2-0) 2b, which provides the orientation of the collagen fibers in every pixel. This orientation map shows that the collagen fibers away from

Figure 2. 2PEF/pSHG images of murine cervices at gestation days 6 and 18. (a,c) Combined I_{2PEF} (red color) and I_{SHG} (green color) images showing the morphology of the cervix at D6 (a) and D18 (c). 2PEF is obtained from endogenous cellular chromophores. SHG reveals collagen fibers. (b,d) Orientation map of collagen fibers extracted from pSHG data from the same samples as in (a) and (c). HSV look-up table is used: H codes the collagen orientation as shown by the color wheel (red color means horizontal, cyan color means vertical), $S = 1$ and $V = R^2$ for $R^2 > 0.5$, $V = 0$ otherwise.

the os are well aligned with a tangential direction around the os. In contrast, the collagen close to the os is primarily oriented toward the os.

Figure 2c,d displays similar data for a D18 murine cervix that is 1 day before birth. Consistent with cell proliferation and tissue growth during pregnancy, the cervix is larger on gestation day 18^{55} 18^{55} 18^{55} Second, the orientation map in Figure 2d demonstrates a marked change in the distribution of collagen with a random distribution of collagen fibers throughout the cervix, in contrast to the well-aligned fibers seen on D6 (Figure 2b). Note that the raw SHG images in Figure 2a,c do not enable us to differentiate the fiber distributions in the D6 and D18 samples because the collagen density remains high in the D18 sample. Notably, the pSHG map (Figure 2d) shows that the apparent organization of collagen around the os in the raw SHG image (Figure 2c) that corresponds to collagen packing, not to the collagen overall orientation.

Similar patterns are obtained in the other D6 and D18 samples. Moreover, 2PEF/SHG images and orientation maps recorded in NP and D12 samples are similar to those in the D6 sample. In contrast, by gestation day D15, the random distribution of collagen as in D18 samples becomes evident (see Supporting Information [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf) S1 and S2).

For further quantitative analysis of the collagen orientation maps, metrics of order such as statistical entropy, circular variance, and kurtosis are calculated in regions of interest (ROI) of size 10×10 pixels $(4.2 \times 4.2 \ \mu \text{m}^2)$ spanning the full cervix. The entropy and the variance are equal to 1 when the orientation distribution in the ROI is completely random, while they are 0 for a perfectly ordered distribution with a

Figure 3. Order metrics of collagen orientation. The circular variance is 0 for a perfectly ordered distribution and 1 for a completely random one. (a,b) Map of the circular variance calculated in small ROIs spanning the pSHG orientation image of the same (a) D6 and (b) D18 samples as in Figure 2. (c) Violin plots of the circular variance calculated in all the ROIs spanning the cervix for all samples under study. Each column represents a different sample from a different mouse. Median (white dot) and interquartile line (black bold line) are plotted for every column. In every sample, the edges are eliminated by an elliptical mask covering the os. (d) Median circular variance of the groups NP, D6 and D12 (*n* = 9) and D15 to D18 $(n = 6)$. Welch's *t*-test shows a significant difference $(p = 0.0009)$.

unique orientation. Accordingly, maps of the circular variance show lower values in the D6 sample than in the D18 sample ([Figure](#page-2-0) 3a,b). The same trend is observed for the entropy (Supporting Information [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf) S3a,c), while the kurtosis is higher in the D6 sample (Supporting Information [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf) [S3b,d\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf) and the skewness is close to zero in all samples ([Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf) [S1](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf)).

The results for all samples (NP, D6, D12, D15, and D18) are summarized in [Figure](#page-2-0) 3c,d. The violin plots display the values of the circular variance calculated for every murine sample in all of the ROIs spanning the cervix, after elimination of the edges by an appropriate elliptical mask covering the os. These violin plots show median variance values of 0.2 or lower for NP, D6 and D12 samples, while for D15 and D18, variance values are at 0.3 or above. The distribution is also broader in the late stage of pregnancy compared to that in the early stages of pregnancy. Similar trends are observed for other metrics, such as entropy and kurtosis, shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf) S3. In the Supporting Information, we also show the entire imaged set ([Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf) S1 and S2).

Finally, Welch's *t* tests are performed on the median values of these order metrics [\(Figure](#page-2-0) 3d). They show that the median circular variance at the early stage of pregnancy $(D0 + D6 +$ D12) is significantly different from the one at late stages of pregnancy $(D15 + D18)$ ($p = 0.0009$). A significant difference is also found for the entropy ($p = 0.0065$) and the kurtosis ($p =$ 0.0004), as shown in Supporting Information [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf) S3e,f.

■ **DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have recorded multimodal multiphoton images of an unstained murine cervix at different stages of gestation utilizing pSHG and 2PEF. Combining 2PEF images of cellular chromophores with SHG images of collagen fibers provides a clear visualization of the cervix morphology at submicrometer resolution. Applying p-SHG to obtain direct visualization of collagen orientation in each pixel provides unique insights into spatial variations in collagen orientation at a previously unachieved resolution. The application of this novel methodology holds the potential to identify regional variations in the pattern of collagen remodeling during gestation without the use of algorithms based on contrast and Fourier transform, often used with SHG microscopy. In NP mice, as well as before 12 days of gestation, the collagen fibers are well organized, aligning along the circumference of the os. Regional variations exist as collagen fibers in the subepithelia stroma region near the os show a different organization, following the edges of the folds. At the late stages of gestation, i.e., from 15 days of gestation, the distribution of the collagen fibers changes abruptly: they show a random organization.

These qualitative observations of cervix remodeling during gestation call for a quantitative measurement of collagen distribution. Collagen visualization in small cervical tissue samples has been accomplished with hydroxyproline titration, and such measurements have indicated a constant collagen content through pregnancy.^{[55](#page-8-0)} However, this is a lengthy and specialized process that still requires the identification and classification of collagen fibers. Quantitative analysis of the raw SHG images has also been used in this context 41 but it is a complex issue. First, the SHG intensity alone is not considered a robust metric because of this modality's coherent and nonlinear nature. $44,56,57$ $44,56,57$ $44,56,57$ $44,56,57$ $44,56,57$ Second, quantification of the collagen distribution in the field of view by appropriate image processing is affected by the high density of the collagen network in the cervix, which hinders the segmentation of individual fibers or the analysis of their direction on scales smaller than a few micrometers. For instance, a combination of SHG microscopy with Fourier transform analysis can provide orientations of collagen in rat cervix only in ≈25 *μ*m-sized regions.[42](#page-7-0),[45](#page-7-0)

To overcome these limitations, we have acquired pSHG images and used a processing workflow to automatically extract collagen orientation in every pixel of 420 nm size. The basic principle of this method is that the SHG signal is larger for incident polarization parallel to the fibrils than for incident polarization perpendicular to the fibrils. Recording a series of images with different incident polarizations then provides the main orientation of the collagen fibrils in every voxel, independently of the neighboring voxels. The advantage of this approach is the establishment of orientation maps with improved resolution compared to other techniques, including optical techniques like Mueller matrix polarimetry^{[36,37](#page-7-0),[58](#page-8-0),[59](#page-8-0)} or optical coherence tomography.^{[35](#page-7-0)} The latter techniques are not specific for collagen, resulting in a strong image background. Mueller matrix polarimetry thus uses large pixel size $(>10 \mu m)$ to improve the signal-overnoise ratio, while the OCT resolution is also a few micrometers. In contrast, pSHG imaging is specific for collagen, and its low background translates into high sensitivity.[43](#page-7-0),[44,54](#page-7-0) Moreover, the orientation of the collagen fiber in every voxel is determined in a ratiometric way, which is highly robust. Therefore, the pSHG orientation maps are reliable data, which cannot be affected by any subtle variation in the imaging conditions or tissue preparation. Accordingly, pSHG orientation maps uniquely show the collagen orientation distribution in the cervix at submicrometer resolution. However, pSHG is a slow technique since it requires acquiring several images upon different incident polarization orientations. From this point of view, advanced image processing techniques may be an interesting trade-off between resolution and imaging speed.⁵³ Alternately, advanced implementation of pSHG can improve the speed of pSHG acquisition.^{60,61}

Order metrics are processed in small ROIs spanning the cervix for quantitative analysis, offering an unbiased view of the cervix structure. We compute the statistical entropy and the circular variance, which both increase with disorder and are equal to 0 for a perfectly ordered distribution and 1 for a random distribution; we also use circular kurtosis (respectively skewness) that is higher when the distribution is more tailed (respectively asymmetric). In NP mice and the early gestation stages, the collagen fibers' circumferential orientation is observed, and the circular variance is relatively low, confirming that collagen fibers are very well ordered, with low dispersion around the main direction. Interestingly, pSHG images do not show areas of preferential alignment in the anterior, posterior, right, or left quadrant of the cervix, as reported by Zork et al. and others.^{[8](#page-6-0)} The variance image indicates a narrowing in the packing in the superior and posterior zones, but this is believed to be due to the geometry of the cervix rather than other forces. A different collagen organization is observed in the folds, closer to the os, where the circular variance is more significant, indicating a less ordered area. Other investigators have shown through histology that in this area, collagen is arranged longitudinally;[32](#page-7-0) since our imaging is conducted *en face* and pSHG measures in-plane orientation, the longitudinal orientation of collagen is not well visualized here.

When observing the timeline of pregnancy, a great deal of change occurs from day 12 to day 15 of gestation, with an almost complete loss of order in all metrics: the orientation map shows fine scattered fibers in every color, and the circular variance image shows higher values over all of the entire cervix. This difference is highlighted in the violin plots, and statistical tests evidence a significant difference between the D15 and D18 mice, on one hand, and the NP, D6, and D12 mice, on the other hand, for all order metrics. This abrupt change of collagen distribution between D12 and D15 supports previous reports based on other techniques, which show an increase in cervix volume and dry mass and a decrease in collagen cross-links and apparent density.^{[10](#page-6-0),[55](#page-8-0)} These reports also show that these changes are associated with a loss of equilibrium stiffness from D6, with a stronger decrease of this mechanical property between D12 and D15.^{[10,](#page-6-0)[55](#page-8-0)} These concordant timelines confirm that collagen remodeling toward a more disordered distribution is a key process enabling delivery. Importantly, our data further provide a quantitative metric of this collagen remodeling at a micrometer scale, which is not accessible by other techniques.

The structural changes in the cervix are inextricably linked to the changes in its mechanical properties and ability to maintain a growing fetus in utero. Several investigators have argued that a constitutive material model for cervical tissue inspired by its microstructure is needed to achieve a realistic model of cervical mechanical load distribution.^{[10](#page-6-0)} Structural parameters used in recently reported constitutive models include collagen orientation and dispersion.^{[62,63](#page-8-0)} Collagen orientation maps at the micrometer scale obtained by pSHG thus provide invaluable quantitative data on the anisotropy and heterogeneity of collagen structure and will facilitate improved design of an advanced constitutive model based on a more realistic description of the cervix microstructure.

Future work will focus on further understanding of both animal and human cervical remodeling with this new tool. In the animal model, there seems to be a bimodal behavior before and after day 12 of pregnancy that should be explored further. The remodeling rate is unknown and could be investigated with the appropriate timing of the experiments. Furthermore, how the remodeling propagates from the endo to the ectocervix could provide valuable information to researchers devising diagnostic devices for preterm labor diagnosis; this could be studied by probing the cervical tissue in-depth and further sectioning the cervix. The ability to obtain highresolution and spatially defined structural collagen information can enhance understanding of premature cervical remodeling in mouse models of inflammation-induced or hormonewithdrawal-induced premature birth and with cervical insufficiency. Expanding this work to a human cervical sample will provide, for the first time, a clear characterization of the woman's cervical extracellular matrix at an unseen resolution. Although some have shown SHG images of the human cervix,^{[40](#page-7-0)−[42](#page-7-0)} the addition of the angular orientation with pSHG can provide exquisite detail of spatially defined changes in collagen architecture. We anticipate the application of this novel methodology to the study of term and preterm cervical remodeling in animal models and humans holds future potential to develop improved tools for detecting and preventing PTB.

■ **METHODS**

Samples. Pregnant female mice, strain C57BL6/129sv, were utilized in this study. The time of pregnancy (day 0) was determined by observing a vaginal plug at the end of a 6 h breeding process that started in the morning of the same day. Mice delivered pups in the early morning on day 19. We followed the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals for all animal breeding procedures. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee reviewed and approved the research protocols at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (IACUC 2016-101519) and at Florida International University (registration number: IACUC-20- 014). All animals were maintained and used in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines.

In this study, we imaged the cervices of three NP mice and three mice at gestation day 6 (D6), at gestation day 12 (D12), at gestation day 15 (D15), and at gestation day 18 (D18) ([Figure](#page-1-0) 1a). First, the mice cervices were snapped frozen at −80 °C in optimal cutting temperature compound (Tissue Tek, Elkhart, Indiana). A cryostat (Leica CM3050) was used for the transverse cryosectioning of the cervix at −20 °C. The cervical tissue sections were taken in the first 200 *μ*m of uterine cervix starting from the end of the vaginal canal. They had a nominal thickness of 50 *μ*m and were mounted on glass slides ([Figure](#page-1-0) 1b).

P-SHG Imaging. Multimodal SHG/2PEF images were recorded using a custom-built upright laser scanning multi-photon microscope as previously described ([Figure](#page-1-0) 1c). $64,65$ Excitation was provided by a femtosecond titanium-sapphire laser (Mai-Tai, Spectra-Physics) tuned at 860 nm and scanned in the *XY* directions using galvanometric mirrors. Resolutions of 0.35 μ m \times 1.2 μ m (fwhm, lateral \times axial) and a field of view of 540 \times 540 μ m² were achieved using a high numerical aperture (NA) objective lens with water immersion (25×, NA 1.05, XLPLN-MP, Olympus). Excitation power at the sample was 5−10 mW. SHG and 2PEF signals were detected simultaneously in the epi- and trans-directions utilizing photon-counting photomultiplier tubes (P25PC, Electron tubes) and suitable spectral filters and dichroic mirrors to reject the excitation beam and select the SHG signal at 430 nm (FF01-680SP, FF01-720SP, FF01-427/10 Semrock) and the 2PEF signal in the 450−650 nm range (FF01-680SP, FF01- 720SP Semrock, GG455, Schott). Because the SHG signal was larger than the 2PEF signal and trans-detection was more efficient than epi-detection, neutral densities (2 to 10-fold attenuation) were added on the trans-SHG channel to avoid photon counting saturation.

Polarimetric imaging was obtained by controlling the linear polarization of the incident field by an achromatic halfwaveplate inserted in a motorized rotating mount at the back aperture of the objective (F102978, 30 mm diameter, Fichou). An achromatic quarter wave-plate (F102977, 30 mm diameter, Fichou) was inserted at the same place to optimize the linearity of the polarization. Series of 18 images were acquired every 10° between 0 and 170°, in a reduced field of view of 370 \times 336 *μ*m² , using a pixel size of 420 nm and 5 *μ*s pixel dwell time (total acquisition time around 15 s).

A motorized sample stage (PLS-XY/MCM3001, Thorlabs) was implemented to record a series of polarization-resolved images over the full section (around 2×2 mm²). A snake motion pattern was used with 250 *μ*m steps in the *X* and *Y* directions, i.e., with around 30% overlap between adjacent tiles. Typically 8 \times 8 tiles were acquired over around 2.4 \times 2.4 mm².

P-SHG Theoretical Analysis and Image Processing. The variation of the SHG signal as a function of the incident polarization can be described theoretically in the framework of tensorial nonlinear optics.^{[66](#page-8-0)} Detailed derivation can be found in the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf). Briefly, following previous reports, we consider here that the collagen fibrils exhibit a cylindrical symmetry and that the Kleinman symmetry is valid.⁶⁵ The second-order nonlinear susceptibility tensor $\chi^{(2)}$ of collagen then comprises only 2 independent components in the fibril frame and the SHG signal intensity of a collagen fibril oriented at angle φ to the *X* axis in the imaging plane writes as follows

$$
I_{\text{SHG}}(\theta) = a_0 + a_2 \cos[2(\theta - \varphi)] + a_4 \cos[4(\theta - \varphi)] \tag{1}
$$

Here, *θ* is the orientation of the incident polarization to the *X* axis and a_0 , a_2 , and a_4 are related to the collagen susceptibility, the collagen orientation out of the imaging plane *XY*, the square of the excitation intensity, as well as to other experimental parameters. Fourier analysis of $I_{\text{SHG}}(\theta)$ then provides 4 parameters [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1d):

- The SHG signal averaged over all linear polarizations: $I_{\text{SHG}} = a_0$. This SHG intensity is similar to the usual SHG image acquired with circularly polarized excitation and same total acquisition duration. The 2PEF signal that is acquired simultaneously is processed the same way to get an averaged 2PEF signal similar to the one acquired without polarimetric modality: I_{2PEF} .
- The in-plane orientation φ of the collagen fibrils.
- A coefficient of determination *R*² that compares the experimental data and the curve obtained from the a_0 , a_2 , and *a*⁴ Fourier parameters (see eq [S5](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf) in the Supporting Information). Indeed, the Fourier analysis provide angles even if the experimental data do not match the theoretical analysis at all. For instance, the cylindrical symmetry does not apply in voxels encompassing crossing fibrils. In this case, eq 1 is not valid anymore because of higher-order Fourier components and the *φ* angle determined from the second and fourth Fourier components is not reliable. Angles determined with R^2 < 0.5 are thus filtered out and depicted as black pixels in the images. $R^2 > 0.5$ are used to code the brightness in orientation maps. Quantitative analyses (see below) are performed only on pixels with $R^2 > 0.5$.
- The ratio of the 2 components of collagen $\chi^{(2)}$ in the microscope frame can also be obtained.^{[49](#page-7-0),[67](#page-8-0)} This parameter varies with the collagen out-of-plane orientation and the orientation disorder of the collagen fibrils within the focal volume. It is not directly informative in this study.

An automatic processing workflow was implemented using Matlab (MathWorks Inc.) to get I_SHG and I_2PEF images and R^2 filtered in-plane orientation *φ* maps for all of the tiles acquired in the same section [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1d). The Fiji Plugin "Grid/ collection stitching"[68](#page-8-0) was then used to stitch together all the tiles [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1d). It was first applied to the I_{SHG} tiles, and the configuration file was saved. A Matlab script was then used to load the single I_{2PEF} and φ images and collate them in the same way as the *I_{SHG}* images by use of the tile coordinates collected from the I_{SHG} configuration file. The stitched I_{SHG} and I_{2PEF}

images were then merged by using false colors. The stitched orientation maps of the in-plane angle *φ* were depicted using an HSV look-up table: H codes the collagen orientation according to the color wheel in inset, $S = 1$ and $V = R^2$ for $R^2 >$ 0.5, $V = 0$ otherwise.

Order Metrics and Statistical Analysis of the Orientation Maps. A statistical analysis was conducted for further quantitative mapping of the collagen orientation distribution ([Figure](#page-1-0) 1d). The local orientation distributions were first computed in sliding ROIs all over the stitched orientation image. 10×10 pixels ROIs $(4.2 \times 4.2 \ \mu \text{m}^2)$ were used as the best trade-off between the spatial resolution and the precision of the statistical parameters. We also utilized ROIs of 5×5 pixels, which produced similar results, while larger ROIs lowered the resolution of the maps to an unacceptable level. The collagen organization was then quantified by computing the statistical entropy, the circular variance, the circular kurtosis, and the circular skewness of these local orientation distributions.^{[45](#page-7-0),[65,69](#page-8-0)} The latter parameters were computed using the circular statistics toolbox in Matlab.^{[69](#page-8-0)} Angles were first doubled to account for the [0− 180°] range of orientation angles obtained from p-SHG. The statistical entropy was calculated as⁶⁵

$$
S = \frac{-1}{\ln[nb(\varphi)]} \sum_{\varphi = -90^{\circ}}^{90^{\circ}} p(\varphi) \ln[p(\varphi)] \tag{2}
$$

Here, $p(\varphi)$ is the normalized number of pixels with the orientation ϕ in the image; the denominator is a normalization factor to be independent of the total number of angular bins $nb(\varphi)$ in the distribution.

Circular kurtosis, skewness, and variance are metrics similar to their non-circular counter-parts. The circular variance assesses the width of the orientation distribution of collagen, the circular kurtosis is a measure of the "tailedness" of this distribution, and the skewness is a measure of the asymmetry of this distribution. The entropy is a more general disorder metric that measures the disorder of any distribution, while the variance is more meaningful for single-peaked distributions.

Once the images of these order parameters were created, an elliptical mask was utilized to suppress pixels not belonging to the cervix, such as vaginal walls and other connective tissue. The size of the mask was dependent on the image, but we strived to accept 75% of the data starting from the center defined as a visible os. The selected pixels were then used to create the violin plots.

Finally, the group composed of early stage cervix samples NP, D6, and D12 and the one composed of D15 and D18 samples were compared using an unpaired *t*-test with Welch's correction. A *p* value less than 0.05 was considered as significant. Box plots show the median.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

Data Availability Statement

Raw imaging data as well as processed orientation map and SHG/2PEF map of every cervical sample are available for download at [10.5281/zenodo.12795328.](doi:10.5281/zenodo.12795328) All images are in tif format.

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337?goto=supporting-info)

Additional methods about p-SHG (theoretical background and image processing); median value of the order metrics of collagen orientation (entropy, circular variance, kurtosis, skewness) for all the mice under study; typical imaging data for NP, D6, D12, D15, and D18 mice; and maps and quantitation of entropy and kurtosis ([PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337/suppl_file/ph4c00337_si_001.pdf))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Authors

Jessica C. Ramella-Roman − *Biomedical Engineering Department, Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33174, United States;* orcid.org/0000-0002-5710-6004; Email: jramella@fiu.edu

Marie-Claire Schanne-Klein − *Laboratory for Optics and Biosciences (LOB), E*́ *cole Polytechnique, CNRS, Inserm, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau 91120, France;* [orcid.org/0000-0003-3026-8932;](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3026-8932) Email: [marie](mailto:marie-claire.schanne-klein@polytechnique.edu)[claire.schanne-klein@polytechnique.edu](mailto:marie-claire.schanne-klein@polytechnique.edu)

Authors

Mala Mahendroo − *Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75390, United States*

- Clothilde Raoux − *Laboratory for Optics and Biosciences (LOB), E*́ *cole Polytechnique, CNRS, Inserm, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau 91120, France*
- Gaël Latour − *Laboratory for Optics and Biosciences (LOB), E*́ *cole Polytechnique, CNRS, Inserm, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau 91120, France; Université Paris-Saclay, Gifsur-Yvette 91190, France*

Complete contact information is available at:

[https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsphotonics.4c00337?ref=pdf)

Author Contributions

J.R.-R. and M.-C.S.-K. designed the study. J.R.-R., M.M., G.L., and M.-C.S.-K. designed the experimental protocols. J.R.-R., C.R., and M.-C.S.-K. designed the numerical analyses. J.R.-R. acquired and analyzed all the data with contributions from M.- C.S.-K. J.R.-R., M.-C.S.-K, and M.M. wrote the manuscript. All authors read, corrected, and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

J.R.-R. acknowledge partial support from the Wertheim foundation, NSF grant #1548924 STROBE and the "Invited Professors" program from Ecole Polytechnique, France. M.- C.S.-K., G.L. and C.R. acknowledge funding from the French "Agence Nationale de la Recherche" (ANR-10-INBS-04, ANR-11-EQPX-0029).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Code availability: A Matlab script used to calculate entropy, variance and kurtosis is provided at [https://github.com/](https://github.com/jramella/ACS_PHOTONICS) [jramella/ACS_PHOTONICS.](https://github.com/jramella/ACS_PHOTONICS)

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors acknowledge the support of Ajmal and Mariano Colon Caraballo in the preparation of some of the cervical samples. They also thank Xavier Solinas and Jean-Marc Sintès for technical support in the SHG setup and Tatiana Novikova and the "Advanced microscopies group" at LOB for fruitful discussions.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Garfield, R. E.; Maul, H.; Shi, L.; Maner, W.; Fittkow, C.; Olsen, G.; Saade, G. R. Methods and devices for the [management](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001.tb03803.x) of term and [preterm](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001.tb03803.x) labor. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 2001, *943*, 203−224.

(2) Soleimani, F.; Zaheri, F.; Abdi, F. Long-term [neurodevelop](https://doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.17965)mental [outcomes](https://doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.17965) after preterm birth. *Iran. Red Crescent Med. J.* 2014, *16* (6), No. e17965.

(3) Saigal, S.; Doyle, L. An overview of [mortality](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(08)60136-1) and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to [adulthood.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(08)60136-1) *Lancet* 2008, *371* (9608), 261−269.

(4) Shapiro-Mendoza, C. K.; Barfield, W. D.; Henderson, Z.; James, A.; Howse, J. L.; Iskander, J.; Thorpe, P. G. CDC grand [rounds:](https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6532a4) public health [strategies](https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6532a4) to prevent preterm birth. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* 2016, *65* (32), 826−830.

(5) Blumenshine, P.; Egerter, S.; Barclay, C. J.; Cubbin, C.; Braveman, P. A. [Socioeconomic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2010.05.012) disparities in adverse birth outcomes: a [systematic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2010.05.012) review. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* 2010, *39* (3), 263−272.

(6) Mehra, R.; Keene, D. E.; Kershaw, T. S.; Ickovics, J. R.; Warren, J. L. Racial and ethnic disparities in adverse birth [outcomes:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2019.100417) differences by racial residential [segregation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2019.100417) *SSM Popul. Health* 2019, *8*, 100417.

(7) Beck, A. F.; Edwards, E. M.; Horbar, J. D.; Howell, E. A.; McCormick, M. C.; Pursley, D. M. The color of health: how [racism,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-019-0513-6) [segregation,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-019-0513-6) and inequality affect the health and well-being of preterm infants and their [families.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-019-0513-6) *Pediatr. Res.* 2020, *87* (2), 227−234.

(8) Zork, N. M.; Myers, K. M.; Yoshida, K.; Cremers, S.; Jiang, H.; Ananth, C. V.; Wapner, R. J.; Kitajewski, J.; Vink, J. A [systematic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2014.09.036) evaluation of collagen [cross-links](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2014.09.036) in the human cervix. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2015, *212* (3), 321.e1−321.e8.

(9) Fernandez, M.; House, M.; Jambawalikar, S.; Zork, N.; Vink, J.; Wapner, R.; Myers, K. [Investigating](https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2015.1033163) the mechanical function of the cervix during [pregnancy](https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2015.1033163) using finite element models derived from [high-resolution](https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2015.1033163) 3D MRI. *Comput. Methods Biomech. Biomed. Eng.* 2016, *19* (4), 404−417.

(10) Myers, K. M.; Feltovich, H.; Mazza, E.; Vink, J.; Bajka, M.; Wapner, R. J.; Hall, T. J.; House, M. The [mechanical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.02.065) role of the cervix in [pregnancy.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.02.065) *J. Biomech.* 2015, *48* (9), 1511−1523.

(11) Akins, M. L.; Luby-Phelps, K.; Mahendroo, M. [Second](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.3381184) harmonic [generation](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.3381184) imaging as a potential tool for staging pregnancy and [predicting](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.3381184) preterm birth. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 2010, *15* (2), 026020.

(12) Read, C. P.; Word, R. A.; Ruscheinsky, M. A.; Timmons, B. C.; Mahendroo, M. S. Cervical [remodeling](https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-07-0032) during pregnancy and parturition: molecular [characterization](https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-07-0032) of the softening phase in [mice.](https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-07-0032) *Reproduction* 2007, *134* (2), 327−340.

(13) Cunningham, F. G.; Williams, J. W.. *Williams Obstetrics*, 23rd ed.; McGraw-Hill Medical: New York, 2010.

(14) Romero, R.; Espinoza, J.; Kusanovic, J. P.; Gotsch, F.; Hassan, S.; Erez, O.; Chaiworapongsa, T.; Mazor, M. The preterm [parturition](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.01120.x) [syndrome.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.01120.x) *BJOG: An Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 2006, *113* (s3), 17−42. (15) Nallasamy, S.; Palacios, H. H.; Setlem, R.; Colon Caraballo, M.; Li, K.; Cao, E.; Shankaran, M.; Hellerstein, M.; Mahendroo, M. [Transcriptome](https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioab144) and proteome dynamics of cervical remodeling in the mouse during [pregnancy.](https://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioab144) *Biol. Reprod.* 2021, *105* (5), 1257−1271.

(16) Yoshida, K.; Jiang, H.; Kim, M.; Vink, J.; Cremers, S.; Paik, D.; Wapner, R.; Mahendroo, M.; Myers, K. [Quantitative](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112391) evaluation of collagen crosslinks and [corresponding](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112391) tensile mechanical properties in mouse cervical tissue during normal [pregnancy.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112391) *PLoS One* 2014, *9* (11), No. e112391.

(17) Akins, M. L.; Luby-Phelps, K.; Bank, R. A.; Mahendroo, M. Cervical softening during [pregnancy:](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.110.089599) regulated changes in collagen [cross-linking](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.110.089599) and composition of matricellular proteins in the mouse. *Biol. Reprod.* 2011, *84* (5), 1053−1062.

(18) Blaustein, A.; Kurman, K. J. *Blaustein's Pathology of the Female Genital Tract*; Springer US: New York, NY, 2011.

(19) House, M.; Kaplan, D. L.; Socrate, S. [Relationships](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2009.06.002) between mechanical properties and extracellular matrix [constituents](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2009.06.002) of the cervical stroma during [pregnancy.](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2009.06.002) *Semin. Perinatol.* 2009, *33* (5), 300−307.

(20) Myers, K.; Socrate, S.; Tzeranis, D.; House, M. [Changes](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2009.02.008) in the biochemical constituents and [morphologic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2009.02.008) appearance of the human cervical stroma during [pregnancy.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2009.02.008) *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 2009, *144*, S82−S89.

(21) Yao, W.; Gan, Y.; Myers, K. M.; Vink, J. Y.; Wapner, R. J.; Hendon, C. P. Collagen fiber [orientation](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166709) and dispersion in the upper cervix of [non-pregnant](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166709) and pregnant women. *PLoS One* 2016, *11* (11), No. e0166709.

(22) Granstrom, L.; Ekman, G.; Ulmsten, U.; Malmstrom, A. Changes in the [connective](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.1989.tb03196.x) tissue of corpus and cervix uteri during ripening and labour in term [pregnancy.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.1989.tb03196.x) *Br. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 1989, *96* (10), 1198−1202.

(23) Sennstrom, M. B.; Ekman, G.; Westergren-Thorsson, G.; Malmstrom, A.; Bystrom, B.; Endresen, U.; Mlambo, N.; Norman, M.; Ståbi, B.; Brauner, A. Human cervical ripening, an [inflammatory](https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/6.4.375) process mediated by [cytokines.](https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/6.4.375) *Mol. Hum. Reprod.* 2000, *6* (4), 375− 381.

(24) Osmers, R.; Rath, W.; Pflanz, M. A.; Kuhn, W.; Stuhlsatz, H. W.; Szeverenyi, M. Glycosaminoglycans in cervical connective tissue during pregnancy and parturition. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 1993, *81* (1), 88− 92.

(25) Shi, L.; Shi, S. Q.; Saade, G. R.; Chwalisz, K.; Garfield, R. E. Changes in cervical resistance and collagen [fluorescence](https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm.1999.026) during [gestation](https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm.1999.026) in rats. *J. Perinat. Med.* 1999, *27* (3), 188−194.

(26) Maul, H.; Olson, G.; Fittkow, C. T.; Saade, G. R.; Garfield, R. E. Cervical [light-induced](https://doi.org/10.1067/mob.2003.94) fluorescence in humans decreases throughout gestation and before delivery: preliminary [observations.](https://doi.org/10.1067/mob.2003.94) *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2003, *188* (2), 537−541.

(27) Bancelin, S.; Nazac, A.; Ibrahim, B. H.; Dokladal, P.; Decenciere, E.; Teig, B.; Haddad, H.; Fernandez, H.; Schanne-Klein, M. C.; De Martino, A. [Determination](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.22.022561) of collagen fiber orientation in histological slides using Mueller [microscopy](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.22.022561) and validation by second harmonic [generation](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.22.022561) imaging. *Opt. Express* 2014, *22* (19), 22561−22574.

(28) Word, R. A.; Li, X. H.; Hnat, M.; Carrick, K. [Dynamics](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-956777) of cervical remodeling during pregnancy and parturition: [mechanisms](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-956777) and current [concepts.](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-956777) *Semin. Reprod. Med.* 2007, *25* (1), 069−079.

(29) Holt, R.; Timmons, B. C.; Akgul, Y.; Akins, M. L.; Mahendroo, M. The molecular [mechanisms](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2010-1105) of cervical ripening differ between term and [preterm](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2010-1105) birth. *Endocrinology* 2011, *152* (3), 1036−1046.

(30) Reusch, L. M.; Feltovich, H.; Carlson, L. C.; Hall, G.; Campagnola, P. J.; Eliceiri, K. W.; Hall, T. J. [Nonlinear](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.18.3.031110) optical [microscopy](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.18.3.031110) and ultrasound imaging of human cervical structure. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 2013, *18* (3), 031110.

(31) Myers, K. M.; Socrate, S.; Paskaleva, A.; House, M. A [study](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3197847) of the anisotropy and [tension/compression](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3197847) behavior of human cervical [tissue.](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3197847) *J. Biomech. Eng.* 2010, *132* (2), 021003.

(32) Aspden, R. M. Collagen [organisation](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0174-173X(88)80022-0) in the cervix and its relation to [mechanical](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0174-173X(88)80022-0) function. *Collagen Relat. Res.* 1988, *8* (2), 103− 112.

(33) Nott, J. P.; Pervolaraki, E.; Benson, A. P.; Bonney, E. A.; Pickering, J. D.; Wilkinson, N.; Simpson, N. [Diffusion](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.15002) tensor imaging determines [three-dimensional](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.15002) architecture of human cervix: a cross[sectional](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.15002) study. *BJOG: An Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 2018, *125* (7), 812−818.

(34) Guerrero, Q. W.; Feltovich, H.; Rosado-Mendez, I. M.; Carlson, L. C.; Li, G.; Hall, T. J. Anisotropy and spatial [heterogeneity](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.02.008) in [quantitative](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.02.008) ultrasound parameters: relevance to the study of the [human](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.02.008) cervix. *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* 2018, *44* (7), 1493−1503.

(35) Gan, Y.; Yao, W.; Myers, K. M.; Vink, J. Y.; Wapner, R. J.; Hendon, C. P. Analyzing [three-dimensional](https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.6.001090) ultrastructure of human cervical tissue using optical coherence [tomography.](https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.6.001090) *Biomed. Opt. Express* 2015, *6* (4), 1090−1108.

(36) Chue-Sang, J.; Bai, Y.; Stoff, S.; Gonzalez, M.; Holness, N.; Gomes, J.; Jung, R.; Gandjbakhche, A.; Chernomordik, V. V.; Ramella-Roman, J. C. Use of Mueller matrix [polarimetry](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.22.8.086010) and optical coherence tomography in the [characterization](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.22.8.086010) of cervical collagen [anisotropy.](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.22.8.086010) *J. Biomed. Opt.* 2017, *22* (08), 1−9.

(37) Chue-Sang, J.; Holness, N.; Gonzalez, M.; Greaves, J.; Saytashev, I.; Stoff, S.; Gandjbakhche, A.; Chernomordik, V.; Burkett, G.; Ramella-Roman, J. Use of Mueller matrix [colposcopy](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.jbo.23.12.121605) in the [characterization](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.jbo.23.12.121605) of cervical collagen anisotropy. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 2018, *23* (12), 1−9.

(38) Pierangelo, A.; Vizet, J.; Rehbinder, J.; Nazac, A. Method and apparatus for quantifying the progression of a pregnancy. EP 3766403 A1, 2021.

(39) O'Brien, C. M.; Vargis, E.; Rudin, A.; Slaughter, J. C.; Thomas, G.; Newton, J. M.; Reese, J.; Bennett, K. A.; Mahadevan-Jansen, A. [In](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.01.030) vivo Raman [spectroscopy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.01.030) for biochemical monitoring of the human cervix [throughout](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.01.030) pregnancy. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2018, *218* (5), 528.e1−528.e18.

(40) Feltovich, H.; Hall, T. J.; Berghella, V. [Beyond](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2012.05.015) cervical length: emerging [technologies](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2012.05.015) for assessing the pregnant cervix. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2012, *207* (5), 345−354.

(41) Zhang, Y.; Akins, M. L.; Murari, K.; Xi, J.; Li, M. J.; Luby-Phelps, K.; Mahendroo, M.; Li, X. A compact [fiber-optic](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1121495109) SHG scanning [endomicroscope](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1121495109) and its application to visualize cervical [remodeling](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1121495109) during pregnancy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2012, *109* (32), 12878−12883.

(42) Lau, T. Y.; Sangha, H. K.; Chien, E. K.; McFarlin, B. L.; Wagoner Johnson, A. J.; Toussaint, K. C., Jr. [Application](https://doi.org/10.1111/jmi.12046) of Fourier [transform-second-harmonic](https://doi.org/10.1111/jmi.12046) generation imaging to the rat cervix. *J. Microsc.* 2013, *251* (1), 77−83.

(43) Chen, X. Y.; Nadiarynkh, O.; Plotnikov, S.; Campagnola, P. J. Second harmonic generation microscopy for [quantitative](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2012.009) analysis of collagen fibrillar [structure.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2012.009) *Nat. Protoc.* 2012, *7* (4), 654−669.

(44) Bancelin, S.; Aimé, C.; Gusachenko, I.; Kowalczuk, L.; Latour, G.; Coradin, T.; Schanne-Klein, M.-C. [Determination](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5920) of collagen fibril size via absolute measurements of [second-harmonic](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5920) generation [signals.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5920) *Nat. Commun.* 2014, *5*, 4920.

(45) Moghaddam, A. O.; Lin, Z.; Sivaguru, M.; Phillips, H.; McFarlin, B. L.; Toussaint, K. C.; Johnson, A. W. [Heterogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2021.12.025) [microstructural](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2021.12.025) changes of the cervix influence cervical funneling. *Acta Biomater.* 2022, *140*, 434−445.

(46) Stoller, P.; Reiser, K. M.; Celliers, P. M.; Rubenchik, A. M. [Polarization-modulated](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(02)75673-7) second harmonic generation in collagen. *Biophys. J.* 2002, *82* (6), 3330−3342.

(47) Tiaho, F.; Recher, G.; Rouède, D. [Estimation](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.012286) of helical angles of myosin and collagen by second harmonic [generation](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.012286) imaging [microscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.012286) *Opt. Express* 2007, *15* (19), 12286−12295.

(48) Tuer, A. E.; Akens, M. K.; Krouglov, S.; Sandkuijl, D.; Wilson, B. C.; Whyne, C. M.; Barzda, V. [Hierarchical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2012.10.019) model of fibrillar collagen organization for interpreting the [second-order](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2012.10.019) susceptibility tensors in [biological](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2012.10.019) tissue. *Biophys. J.* 2012, *103* (10), 2093−2105.

(49) Gusachenko, I.; Tran, V.; Houssen, Y.; Allain, J.-M.; Schanne-Klein, M.-C. [Polarization-resolved](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2012.03.068) second-harmonic generation in tendon upon [mechanical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2012.03.068) stretching. *Biophys. J.* 2012, *102* (9), 2220− 2229.

(50) Duboisset, J.; Ait-Belkacem, D.; Roche, M.; Rigneault, H.; Brasselet, S. Generic model of the molecular [orientational](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.043829) distribution probed by [polarization-resolved](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.043829) second-harmonic generation. *Phys. Rev. A* 2012, *85* (4), 043829.

(51) Raoux, C.; Chessel, A.; Mahou, P.; Latour, G.; Schanne-Klein, M. C. [Unveiling](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-023-01224-0) the lamellar structure of the human cornea over its full thickness using [polarization-resolved](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-023-01224-0) SHG microscopy. *Light Sci. Appl.* 2023, *12* (1), 190.

(52) Liu, Y. M.; Keikhosravi, A.; Mehta, G. S.; Drifka, C. R.; Eliceiri, K. W. Methods for [quantifying](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7113-8_28) fibrillar collagen alignment. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2017, *1627*, 429−451.

(53) Liu, Z.; Pouli, D.; Sood, D.; Sundarakrishnan, A.; Hui Mingalone, C. K.; Arendt, L. M.; Alonzo, C.; Quinn, K. P.; Kuperwasser, C.; Zeng, L.; Schnelldorfer, T.; Kaplan, D. L.; Georgakoudi, I. Automated quantification of [three-dimensional](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.11.041) [organization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.11.041) of fiber-like structures in biological tissues. *Biomaterials* 2017, *116*, 34−47.

(54) Zipfel, W. R.; Williams, R. M.; Christie, R.; Nikitin, A. Y.; Hyman, B. T.; Webb, W. W. Live tissue intrinsic emission [microscopy](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0832308100) using [multiphoton-excited](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0832308100) native fluorescence and second harmonic [generation.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0832308100) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2003, *100* (12), 7075−7080.

(55) Yoshida, K.; Jayyosi, C.; Lee, N.; Mahendroo, M.; Myers, K. M. Mechanics of cervical [remodelling:](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsfs.2019.0026) insights from rodent models of [pregnancy.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsfs.2019.0026) *Interface Focus* 2019, *9* (5), 20190026.

(56) Couture, C. A.; Bancelin, S.; Van der Kolk, J.; Popov, K.; Rivard, M.; Legare, K.; Martel, G.; Richard, H.; Brown, C.; Laverty, S.; Ramunno, L.; Legare, F. The impact of [collagen](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2015.10.040) fibril polarity on second harmonic generation [microscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2015.10.040) *Biophys. J.* 2015, *109* (12), 2501−2510.

(57) Raanan, D.; Song, M. S.; Tisdale, W. A.; Oron, D. [Super](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0073161)resolved second harmonic [generation](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0073161) imaging by coherent image scanning [microscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0073161) *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2022, *120* (7), 071111.

(58) Roa, C.; Du Le, V. N.; Mahendroo, M.; Saytashev, I.; Ramella-Roman, J. C. [Auto-detection](https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.420079) of cervical collagen and elastin in Mueller matrix polarimetry [microscopic](https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.420079) images using K-NN and semantic [segmentation](https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.420079) classification. *Biomed. Opt. Express* 2021, *12* (4), 2236−2249.

(59) Lee, H. R.; Saytashev, I.; Du Le, V. N.; Mahendroo, M.; Ramella-Roman, J.; Novikova, T. Mueller matrix imaging for [collagen](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-95020-8) scoring in mice model of [pregnancy.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-95020-8) *Sci. Rep.* 2021, *11* (1), 15621.

(60) Morizet, J.; Ducourthial, G.; Supatto, W.; Boutillon, A.; Legouis, R.; Schanne-Klein, M. C.; Stringari, C.; Beaurepaire, E. [High](https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.6.000385)speed [polarization-resolved](https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.6.000385) third-harmonic microscopy. *Optica* 2019, *6* (3), 385−388.

(61) Ducourthial, G.; Affagard, J.-S.; Schmeltz, M.; Solinas, X.; Lopez-Poncelas, M.; Bonod-Bidaud, C.; Rubio-Amador, R.; Ruggiero, F.; Allain, J.-M.; Beaurepaire, E.; Schanne-Klein, M.-C. [Monitoring](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbio.201800336) dynamic collagen [reorganization](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbio.201800336) during skin stretching with fast [polarization-resolved](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbio.201800336) second harmonic generation imaging. *J. Biophot.* 2019, *12* (5), No. e201800336.

(62) Shi, L.; Yao, W.; Gan, Y.; Zhao, L. Y.; Eugene McKee, W.; Vink, J.; Wapner, R. J.; Hendon, C. P.; Myers, K. [Anisotropic](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4043977) material [characterization](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4043977) of human cervix tissue based on indentation and inverse finite element [analysis.](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4043977) *J. Biomech. Eng.* 2019, *141* (9), 0910171−09101713.

(63) Shi, L.; Hu, L.; Lee, N.; Fang, S.; Myers, K. [Three-dimensional](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2022.07.062) anisotropic [hyperelastic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2022.07.062) constitutive model describing the mechanical [response](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2022.07.062) of human and mouse cervix. *Acta Biomater.* 2022, *150*, 277− 294.

(64) Schmeltz, M.; Teulon, C.; Pinsard, M.; Hansen, U.; Alnawaiseh, M.; Ghoubay, D.; Borderie, V.; Mosser, G.; Aimé, C.; Légaré, F.; Latour, G.; Schanne-Klein, M. C. Circular-dichroism SHG microscopy probes the polarity distribution of out-of-plane collagen fibril assemblies. In *2021 Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics Europe & European Quantum Electronics Conference (Cleo/Europe-Eqec)*, 2021.

(65) Raoux, C.; Schmeltz, M.; Bied, M.; Alnawaiseh, M.; Hansen, U.; Latour, G.; Schanne-Klein, M.-C. [Quantitative](https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.426145) structural imaging of keratoconic corneas using [polarization-resolved](https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.426145) SHG microscopy. *Biomed. Opt. Express* 2021, *12* (7), 4163−4178.

(66) Boyd, R. W. *Nonlinear Optics*; Academic Press: London, 2003. (67) Schmeltz, M.; Robinet, L.; Heu-Thao, S.; Sintes, J. M.; Teulon, C.; Ducourthial, G.; Mahou, P.; Schanne-Klein, M. C.; Latour, G. [Noninvasive](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg1090) quantitative assessment of collagen degradation in parchments by [polarization-resolved](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg1090) SHG microscopy. *Sci. Adv.* 2021, *7* (29), No. eabg1090.

(68) Preibisch, S.; Saalfeld, S.; Tomancak, P. [Globally](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp184) optimal stitching of tiled 3D microscopic image [acquisitions.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp184) *Bioinformatics* 2009, *25* (11), 1463−1465.

(69) Fisher, N. *Statistical Analysis of Circular Data*; Cambridge University Press, 1993.